

Names and boundaries on this map do not imply official acceptance or recognition by the World Food Programme or by the United Nations. Facts and figures in this map are to the best of our knowledge accurate and up to date at the time of writing but subject to change at any time. The World Food Programme makes no warranty on representation as to the reliability or accuracy of the data contained herein. ©United Nations World Food Programme 2008. All Rights Reserved. Compiled by WFP OMSIP 16 Nov 2008. Map C.S. Winkler-Tripel WGS84. Sources: GALA 2008, ESRI 2005, GD 2007.

Kilometers 0 500 1,000 2,000 3,000  
Map compiled by: WFP - World Food Programme Emergency Preparedness and Response Branch

# Preparedness SWG Progress of 5 Country Initiative

8/11/2011





**Crisis Risk Level**  
Color ranking of countries is based on rough estimates of:

- 1) threat to life/livelihood,
- 2) potential scale,
- 3) imminence,
- 4) potential population movements,
- 5) regional implications.

- Priority 1 ●
- Priority 2 ●
- Priority 3 ●
- On Watch ●

**Preparedness / Response Level**  
(as compared to previous reporting period)

- ↑ Increased levels of preparedness/response likely to be required
- ↔ Sustained levels of preparedness/response likely to be required
- ↓ Decreased levels of preparedness/response anticipated



# Progress in Ghana

- Capacity assessment on emergency preparedness (SPP)
- Inter agency simulation with a focus on government and IA preparedness
- Development of 5 year plan of action on DRR with one full pillar on preparedness for response (around \$10 million)
- Dedicated National Disaster Reduction Advisor deployed (NRC) for one year

# Others: Haiti, Uganda and Nepal

- Nepal: On hold following country communication
- Uganda: No progress but request from RC to continue collaboration
- Haiti: Support for government centered simulation but since then no confirmation of collaboration

# What have we learned ?

- Government and RC commitment
- Engagement of regional EPR network
- Robust technical support for systematic CD from CADRI
- Dedicated staff and technical team at country level
- Need for mapping and building on existing programmes
- Common challenges from transition of cluster system (Nepal, Uganda and Haiti)
- Need to respond to IASC principals call for preparedness in conflict settings with a focus on IA preparedness actions/standards

## Next steps: 2 pillars

1. Natural hazard setting: focus on national/local CD
  - Multi year plan of action (DRR and/or preparedness)
  - CP and Simulation focused on government and IA
  - Key role of CADRI
2. Conflict Setting: focus on in country IA preparedness
  - IACP, IA key preparedness/readiness actions
  - IA Simulation
  - Key role of OCHA

## Requirement for both pillars

- Clear request from RC/HC supported by country team (HCT and/or UNCT)
- Regional EPR network engagement
- In country technical preparedness capacity: dedicated staff (RCO or OCHA) supported by IA technical team
- Clear support from Preparedness Funding Task Team for strategic fundraising support

# Country selection

1. Natural hazard settings: focus on 3-4 countries requesting CADRI support

- Ghana, Uganda confirmed, Haiti ?
- Philippines, Mali, Bolivia and Uzbekistan (potential)

2. Conflict settings: focus on 2 countries facing specific conflict hazard (similar to Sudan last year)

- Linkage with EWEA report ?
- Yemen, DRC, Afghanistan, Sudan

3. Need to decide before IASC principals to get their endorsement in early December

THANK YOU.