

» [Member login](#) » [Send my own surveys](#) » [Quick tour](#)

Survey on the IASC advocacy paper on Humanitarian Action and Older Persons

Survey Results

1. Type of organisation you work for (select one)


International NGO		9	38%
National NGO		2	8%
Red Cross Red Crescent		0	0%
United Nations/UN agency		4	17%
IOM or other International Organisation		1	4%
Government/National authority		2	8%
Donor		0	0%
Academic Institution		2	8%
Independent consultant/trainer		2	8%
Other, please specify		2	8%
Total		24	100%



2. Country where you currently work (select from list)

Afghanistan		0	0%
Albania		0	0%
Algeria		0	0%
American Samoa		0	0%
Andorra		0	0%
Angola		0	0%
Anguilla		0	0%
Antigua and Barbuda		0	0%
Argentina		0	0%
Armenia		0	0%
Aruba (The Netherlands)		0	0%
Australia		0	0%
Austria		0	0%
Azerbaijan		1	4%
Bahamas (the)		0	0%
Bahrain		0	0%

Bangladesh		1	4%
Barbados		0	0%
Belarus		0	0%
Belgium		0	0%
Belize		0	0%
Benin		0	0%
Bermuda		0	0%
Bhutan		0	0%
Bolivia		1	4%
Bosnia and Herzegovina		0	0%
Botswana		0	0%
Brazil		0	0%
Bulgaria		0	0%
Burkina Faso		0	0%
Burundi		0	0%
Cambodia		0	0%
Cameroon		0	0%
Canada		5	21%
Cape Verde		0	0%
Cayman Islands		0	0%
Central African Republic (the)		0	0%
Chad		0	0%
Channel Islands		0	0%
Chile		0	0%
China		1	4%
China - Hong Kong (Special Administrative Region)		0	0%
China - Macau (Special Administrative Region)		0	0%
China - Taiwan Province		0	0%
Colombia		0	0%
Comoros (the)		0	0%
Congo (the)		0	0%
Cook Islands		0	0%
Costa Rica		0	0%
Côte d'Ivoire		0	0%
Croatia		0	0%
Cuba		0	0%
Cyprus		0	0%
Czech Republic (the)		0	0%

Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)		0	0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)		0	0%
Denmark		0	0%
Djibouti		0	0%
Dominica		0	0%
Dominican Republic (the)		0	0%
Ecuador		0	0%
Egypt		0	0%
El Salvador		0	0%
Equatorial Guinea		0	0%
Eritrea		0	0%
Estonia		0	0%
Ethiopia		0	0%
Fiji		0	0%
Finland		0	0%
France		0	0%
Gabon		0	0%
Galapagos Islands (Ecuador)		0	0%
Gambia (the)		0	0%
General		0	0%
Georgia		1	4%
Germany		0	0%
Ghana		0	0%
Gibraltar		0	0%
Greece		0	0%
Greenland (Denmark)		0	0%
Grenada		0	0%
Guadeloupe (France)		0	0%
Guam		0	0%
Guatemala		0	0%
Guinea		0	0%
Guinea-Bissau		0	0%
Guya		0	0%
Haiti		0	0%
Holy See (the)		0	0%
Honduras		0	0%
Hungary		0	0%
Iceland		0	0%
India		2	8%

Indonesia		0	0%
Iran (Islamic Republic of)		0	0%
Iraq		0	0%
Ireland		0	0%
Israel		0	0%
Italy		0	0%
Jamaica		0	0%
Japan		0	0%
Jordan		0	0%
Kazakhstan		0	0%
Kenya		1	4%
Kiribati		0	0%
Kuwait		0	0%
Kyrgyzstan		0	0%
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)		0	0%
Latvia		0	0%
Lebanon		0	0%
Lesotho		0	0%
Liberia		0	0%
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)		0	0%
Liechtenstein		0	0%
Lithuania		0	0%
Luxembourg		0	0%
Madagascar		0	0%
Malawi		0	0%
Malaysia		0	0%
Maldives		0	0%
Mali		0	0%
Malta		0	0%
Marshall Islands (the)		0	0%
Martinique (France)		0	0%
Mauritania		0	0%
Mauritius		0	0%
Mayotte (France)		0	0%
Mexico		0	0%
Micronesia (Federated States of)		0	0%
Moldova		0	0%
Monaco		0	0%
Mongolia		0	0%

Montenegro		0	0%
Montserrat		0	0%
Morocco		0	0%
Mozambique		0	0%
Myanmar		0	0%
Namibia		0	0%
Nauru		0	0%
Nepal		0	0%
Netherlands (the)		1	4%
New Caledonia (France)		0	0%
New Zealand		0	0%
Nicaragua		0	0%
Niger (the)		0	0%
Nigeria		0	0%
Norway		0	0%
occupied Palestinian territory		0	0%
Oman		0	0%
Pakistan		0	0%
Palau		0	0%
Panama		0	0%
Papua New Guinea		0	0%
Paraguay		0	0%
Peru		0	0%
Philippines (the)		1	4%
Pitcairn Island		0	0%
Poland		0	0%
Portugal		0	0%
Qatar		0	0%
Republic of Korea (the)		0	0%
Réunion (France)		0	0%
Romania		0	0%
Russian Federation (the)		0	0%
Rwanda		0	0%
Saint Helena		0	0%
Saint Kitts and Nevis		0	0%
Saint Lucia		0	0%
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		0	0%
Samoa		0	0%
San Marino		0	0%

Sao Tome and Principe		0	0%
Saudi Arabia		0	0%
Senegal		0	0%
Serbia		0	0%
Seychelles		0	0%
Sierra Leone		0	0%
Singapore		0	0%
Slovakia		0	0%
Slovenia		0	0%
Solomon Islands		0	0%
Somalia		0	0%
South Africa		0	0%
Spain		0	0%
Sri Lanka		0	0%
Sudan (the)		0	0%
Suriname		0	0%
Svalbard and Jan Mayen Islands		0	0%
Swaziland		0	0%
Sweden		0	0%
Switzerland		4	17%
Syrian Arab Republic (the)		0	0%
Tajikistan		0	0%
Thailand		0	0%
the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia		0	0%
Timor-Leste		0	0%
Togo		0	0%
Tokelau		0	0%
Tonga		0	0%
Trinidad and Tobago		0	0%
Tunisia		0	0%
Turkey		0	0%
Turkmenistan		0	0%
Turks and Caicos Islands		0	0%
Tuvalu		0	0%
Uganda		2	8%
Ukraine		0	0%
United Arab Emirates (the)		0	0%
United Kingdom		2	8%

United Republic of Tanzania (the)		0	0%
United States of America (the)		1	4%
United States Virgin Islands		0	0%
Uruguay		0	0%
Uzbekistan		0	0%
Vanuatu		0	0%
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)		0	0%
Viet Nam		0	0%
Western Sahara		0	0%
Yemen		0	0%
Zambia		0	0%
Zimbabwe		0	0%
Total		24	100%

3. In which type of office do you currently work?

Headquarters		13	54%
Regional Office		3	12%
Country Office		2	8%
Field/sub office		3	12%
Other, please specify		3	12%
Total		24	100%










4. Which statement best describes your familiarity with and use of this IASC product?

I have never seen it		7	29%
I have seen but not used it		7	29%
I have used it occasionally		7	29%
I use it frequently		3	12%
Total		24	100%








5. Do you have a copy of this product?

No		3	18%
Yes, in soft copy		6	35%
Yes, in hard copy		2	12%
Yes, both in soft and hard copy		6	35%
Total		17	100%

6. If Yes, how did you find / receive the document?

From a colleague in my office		3	21%
From my headquarters		2	14%
From another agency		2	14%
From an interagency meeting		1	7%
I downloaded it from the IASC site		1	7%
Found through search engine		1	7%
From an e-mail list		0	0%
From a training course		1	7%
Directly from IASC / IASC subgroup		2	14%
Can't remember		0	0%
Other, please specify		1	7%
Total		14	100%

7. How has the product been used?

Project / programme design		5	29%
Training of partners		2	12%
Preparing country/ field level guidance		4	24%
Examples of good practice		5	29%
Advocacy		7	41%
I do not know		4	24%
Other, please specify		5	29%

8. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not true	Slightly true	Quite true	Very true	Not sure
It is available in my preferred working language	2 12%	1 6%	1 6%	10 59%	3 18%
My HQ has instructed my office to use it	4 24%	1 6%	3 18%	4 24%	5 29%
My organisation is fully committed to its use	2 12%	3 18%	3 18%	5 29%	4 24%
It has been incorporated into my own organisation's policy	2 12%	0 0%	5 29%	4 24%	6 35%
In our inter-agency discussions, it is the common standard	4 24%	2 12%	1 6%	2 12%	8 47%

I know at least one organisation actively promoting the use of this product	3 18%	2 12%	1 6%	8 47%	3 18%
---	----------	----------	---------	----------	----------

9. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not True	Slightly True	Quite True	Very True	Not sure
It is easy to get access to	1 6%	3 18%	4 24%	6 35%	3 18%
It is easy to use	1 6%	3 18%	7 41%	4 24%	2 12%
I use it in my day to day work	4 24%	7 41%	2 12%	1 6%	3 18%
It provides helpful policy guidance	0 0%	3 18%	2 12%	9 53%	3 18%
It includes practical examples relevant to my situation	1 6%	4 24%	6 35%	2 12%	4 24%
It includes good practice based on experience of what works	0 0%	4 24%	7 41%	4 24%	2 12%
It sets realistic and attainable standards	0 0%	3 18%	6 35%	2 12%	6 35%
I have been trained in its use	11 65%	1 6%	1 6%	0 0%	4 24%
It has had a positive impact on our field operations	0 0%	3 18%	5 29%	1 6%	8 47%

10. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not true	Slightly true	Quite true	Very true	Not sure
This product is just one of several sources of guidance on this subject	4 24%	5 29%	2 12%	4 24%	2 12%
I want to use it but don't know how to get a copy	11 65%	2 12%	1 6%	0 0%	3 18%
This product is too complicated	9 53%	2 12%	2 12%	0 0%	4 24%
This product is too long	9 53%	4 24%	0 0%	0 0%	4 24%
I follow my own organisation's procedures, rather than IASC guidance	4 24%	4 24%	3 18%	3 18%	3 18%
The product is out of date	11 65%	2 12%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%
I have a copy but do not have time to read or use it	10 59%	5 29%	0 0%	0 0%	2 12%

I can't keep track of all the sources of guidance on this topic	7 41%	2 12%	4 24%	1 6%	3 18%
---	----------	----------	----------	---------	----------

11. How could this IASC product be improved?
8 Responses

12. How could this IASC product be more widely known and used?
9 Responses

13. How familiar are you with these other IASC products?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not seen it	Seen but not used	Occasionally Used	Often used	Not sure
Gender Handbook	7 29%	5 21%	5 21%	4 17%	3 12%
Civil Military Guidelines and Reference for Complex Emergencies	13 54%	1 4%	4 17%	2 8%	4 17%
Guidelines for HIV/AIDS interventions in Emergency Settings	10 42%	5 21%	2 8%	2 8%	5 21%
Inter-Agency Contingency Planning Guidelines for Humanitarian Assistance	10 42%	2 8%	5 21%	3 12%	4 17%
Guidelines on Mental Health and Psychosocial Support	6 25%	7 29%	4 17%	3 12%	4 17%
Operational Guidelines on Human Rights and Natural Disasters	10 42%	4 17%	5 21%	3 12%	2 8%
Savings Lives Together	14 58%	5 21%	2 8%	1 4%	2 8%

14. How do you prefer to access guidance materials, in general? (select one)

Email attachment		11	46%
Hard copy		5	21%
CD-ROM / memory stick		3	12%
Download from the Internet and use later		5	21%
Read on the Internet		0	0%
Other, please specify		0	0%
Total		24	100%

15. Any other comments which may assist the Review of IASC Products:

9 Responses

16. If you would like to see the results of this and other surveys in this Review when it is complete, please provide your email address here. [Your email address will not be passed on to others]

17 Responses



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)

[» Member login](#) [» Send my own surveys](#) [» Quick tour](#)

Survey on the IASC advocacy paper on Humanitarian Action and Older Persons

[« Return to Survey Results](#)

Open Ended Responses

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-8 of 8 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)

11. How could this IASC product be improved?

#	Response
1	more illustartion, more accesible to national non educated staff
2	simpler
3	better distribution / marketing
4	Training
5	People, specially field person may find more case studies or examples easy to understand.
6	With practical guide on specific professional themes
7	Convention of all stakeholders and actors
8	It could be adapted into a training module.

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-8 of 8 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)

» [Member login](#) » [Send my own surveys](#) » [Quick tour](#)

Survey on the IASC advocacy paper on Humanitarian Action and Older Persons

[« Return to Survey Results](#)

Open Ended Responses

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-9 of 9 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)

12. How could this IASC product be more widely known and used?

#	Response
1	organise regional dissemination..
2	more dissemination to community organisations
3	Training
4	In Bangladesh Older People are yet to be included in all development activities. Better or more use of this product may linked to mainstreaming of OP issues in all development activities.
5	group training along with diverse agencies
6	Make it part of the basic training package for humanitarian workers and agencies.
7	These products simply have to be referred to more often.. Just having them on some reference list isn;t making them know
8	series of training and information drive
9	If it were incorporated into a training model. It is was promoted using other IASC mechanism.

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-9 of 9 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)