

[» Member login](#)[» Send my own surveys](#)[» Quick tour](#)

Survey on Savings Lives Together

[View Individual Responses](#)



Survey Results

1. Type of organisation you work for (select one)

International NGO		2	25%
National NGO		1	12%
Red Cross Red Crescent		0	0%
United Nations/UN agency		3	38%
IOM or other International Organisation		1	12%
Government/National authority		0	0%
Donor		0	0%
Academic Institution		0	0%
Independent consultant/trainer		1	12%
Other, please specify		0	0%
Total		8	100%


2. Country where you currently work (select from list)



Afghanistan		0	0%
Albania		0	0%
Algeria		0	0%
American Samoa		0	0%
Andorra		0	0%
Angola		0	0%
Anguilla		0	0%
Antigua and Barbuda		0	0%
Argentina		0	0%
Armenia		0	0%
Aruba (The Netherlands)		0	0%
Australia		0	0%
Austria		0	0%
Azerbaijan		0	0%
Bahamas (the)		0	0%

Bahrain		0	0%
Bangladesh		1	12%
Barbados		0	0%
Belarus		0	0%
Belgium		0	0%
Belize		0	0%
Benin		0	0%
Bermuda		0	0%
Bhutan		0	0%
Bolivia		0	0%
Bosnia and Herzegovina		0	0%
Botswana		0	0%
Brazil		0	0%
Bulgaria		0	0%
Burkina Faso		0	0%
Burundi		0	0%
Cambodia		0	0%
Cameroon		0	0%
Canada		0	0%
Cape Verde		0	0%
Cayman Islands		0	0%
Central African Republic (the)		0	0%
Chad		1	12%
Channel Islands		0	0%
Chile		0	0%
China		0	0%
China - Hong Kong (Special Administrative Region)		0	0%
China - Macau (Special Administrative Region)		0	0%
China - Taiwan Province		0	0%
Colombia		0	0%
Comoros (the)		0	0%
Congo (the)		0	0%
Cook Islands		0	0%
Costa Rica		0	0%
Côte d'Ivoire		0	0%
Croatia		0	0%
Cuba		0	0%
Cyprus		0	0%

Czech Republic (the)		0	0%
Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the)		0	0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo (the)		0	0%
Denmark		0	0%
Djibouti		0	0%
Dominica		0	0%
Dominican Republic (the)		0	0%
Ecuador		0	0%
Egypt		0	0%
El Salvador		0	0%
Equatorial Guinea		0	0%
Eritrea		0	0%
Estonia		0	0%
Ethiopia		0	0%
Fiji		0	0%
Finland		0	0%
France		0	0%
Gabon		0	0%
Galapagos Islands (Ecuador)		0	0%
Gambia (the)		0	0%
General		0	0%
Georgia		0	0%
Germany		0	0%
Ghana		0	0%
Gibraltar		0	0%
Greece		0	0%
Greenland (Denmark)		0	0%
Grenada		0	0%
Guadeloupe (France)		0	0%
Guam		0	0%
Guatemala		0	0%
Guinea		0	0%
Guinea-Bissau		0	0%
Guya		0	0%
Haiti		0	0%
Holy See (the)		0	0%
Honduras		0	0%
Hungary		0	0%
Iceland		0	0%

India		0	0%
Indonesia		0	0%
Iran (Islamic Republic of)		0	0%
Iraq		0	0%
Ireland		0	0%
Israel		0	0%
Italy		0	0%
Jamaica		0	0%
Japan		0	0%
Jordan		0	0%
Kazakhstan		0	0%
Kenya		0	0%
Kiribati		0	0%
Kuwait		0	0%
Kyrgyzstan		0	0%
Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)		0	0%
Latvia		0	0%
Lebanon		0	0%
Lesotho		0	0%
Liberia		0	0%
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (the)		0	0%
Liechtenstein		0	0%
Lithuania		0	0%
Luxembourg		0	0%
Madagascar		0	0%
Malawi		0	0%
Malaysia		0	0%
Maldives		0	0%
Mali		0	0%
Malta		0	0%
Marshall Islands (the)		0	0%
Martinique (France)		0	0%
Mauritania		0	0%
Mauritius		0	0%
Mayotte (France)		0	0%
Mexico		0	0%
Micronesia (Federated States of)		0	0%
Moldova		0	0%
Monaco		0	0%

Mongolia		0	0%
Montenegro		0	0%
Montserrat		0	0%
Morocco		0	0%
Mozambique		0	0%
Myanmar		0	0%
Namibia		0	0%
Nauru		0	0%
Nepal		1	12%
Netherlands (the)		0	0%
New Caledonia (France)		0	0%
New Zealand		0	0%
Nicaragua		0	0%
Niger (the)		0	0%
Nigeria		0	0%
Norway		0	0%
occupied Palestinian territory		0	0%
Oman		0	0%
Pakistan		0	0%
Palau		0	0%
Panama		0	0%
Papua New Guinea		0	0%
Paraguay		0	0%
Peru		0	0%
Philippines (the)		0	0%
Pitcairn Island		0	0%
Poland		0	0%
Portugal		0	0%
Qatar		0	0%
Republic of Korea (the)		0	0%
Réunion (France)		0	0%
Romania		0	0%
Russian Federation (the)		0	0%
Rwanda		0	0%
Saint Helena		0	0%
Saint Kitts and Nevis		0	0%
Saint Lucia		0	0%
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		0	0%
Samoa		0	0%

San Marino		0	0%
Sao Tome and Principe		0	0%
Saudi Arabia		0	0%
Senegal		0	0%
Serbia		0	0%
Seychelles		0	0%
Sierra Leone		0	0%
Singapore		0	0%
Slovakia		0	0%
Slovenia		0	0%
Solomon Islands		0	0%
Somalia		0	0%
South Africa		0	0%
Spain		0	0%
Sri Lanka		0	0%
Sudan (the)		0	0%
Suriname		0	0%
Svalbard and Jan Mayen Islands		0	0%
Swaziland		0	0%
Sweden		0	0%
Switzerland		2	25%
Syrian Arab Republic (the)		0	0%
Tajikistan		0	0%
Thailand		0	0%
the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia		0	0%
Timor-Leste		0	0%
Togo		0	0%
Tokelau		0	0%
Tonga		0	0%
Trinidad and Tobago		0	0%
Tunisia		0	0%
Turkey		1	12%
Turkmenistan		0	0%
Turks and Caicos Islands		0	0%
Tuvalu		0	0%
Uganda		0	0%
Ukraine		0	0%
United Arab Emirates (the)		0	0%
United Kingdom		0	0%

United Republic of Tanzania (the)		0	0%
United States of America (the)		0	0%
United States Virgin Islands		0	0%
Uruguay		1	12%
Uzbekistan		0	0%
Vanuatu		1	12%
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)		0	0%
Viet Nam		0	0%
Western Sahara		0	0%
Yemen		0	0%
Zambia		0	0%
Zimbabwe		0	0%
Total		8	100%

3. In which type of office do you currently work?

Headquarters		5	62%
Regional Office		0	0%
Country Office		2	25%
Field/sub office		0	0%
Other, please specify View Responses		1	12%
Total		8	100%







4. Which statement best describes your familiarity with and use of this IASC product?

I have never seen it		1	12%
I have seen but not used it		2	25%
I have used it occasionally		3	38%
I use it frequently		2	25%
Total		8	100%







5. Do you have a copy of this product?

No		0	0%
Yes, in soft copy		5	71%
Yes, in hard copy		0	0%
Yes, both in soft and hard copy		2	29%
Total		7	100%

6. If Yes, how did you find / receive the document?

From a colleague in my office		1	14%
From my headquarters		1	14%
From another agency		1	14%
From an interagency meeting		0	0%
I downloaded it from the IASC site		0	0%
Found through search engine		1	14%
From an e-mail list		1	14%
From a training course		0	0%
Directly from IASC / IASC subgroup		0	0%
Can't remember		0	0%
Other, please specify View Responses		2	29%
Total		7	100%

7. How has the product been used?

Project / programme design		1	14%
Training of partners		2	29%
Preparing country/ field level guidance		3	43%
Examples of good practice		2	29%
Advocacy		2	29%
I do not know		0	0%
Other, please specify View Responses		3	43%

8. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not true	Slightly true	Quite true	Very true	Not sure
It is available in my preferred working language	2 29%	0 0%	1 14%	4 57%	0 0%
My HQ has instructed my office to use it	4 57%	0 0%	1 14%	2 29%	0 0%
My organisation is fully committed to its use	1 14%	2 29%	0 0%	3 43%	1 14%
It has been incorporated into my own organisation's policy	1 14%	1 14%	1 14%	3 43%	1 14%

In our inter-agency discussions, it is the common standard	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%
I know at least one organisation actively promoting the use of this product	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%

9. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not True	Slightly True	Quite True	Very True	Not sure
It is easy to get access to	0 0%	1 14%	2 29%	4 57%	0 0%
It is easy to use	2 29%	3 43%	1 14%	1 14%	0 0%
I use it in my day to day work	1 14%	3 43%	1 14%	2 29%	0 0%
It provides helpful policy guidance	1 14%	1 14%	3 43%	2 29%	0 0%
It includes practical examples relevant to my situation	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%	2 29%	1 14%
It includes good practice based on experience of what works	0 0%	3 43%	2 29%	2 29%	0 0%
It sets realistic and attainable standards	2 29%	1 14%	3 43%	1 14%	0 0%
I have been trained in its use	3 43%	1 14%	1 14%	2 29%	0 0%
It has had a positive impact on our field operations	1 14%	1 14%	2 29%	2 29%	1 14%

10. For this IASC product, how true are the following statements?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not true	Slightly true	Quite true	Very true	Not sure
This product is just one of several sources of guidance on this subject	2 29%	4 57%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%
I want to use it but don't know how to get a copy	6 86%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%
This product is too complicated	4 57%	1 14%	1 14%	1 14%	0 0%
This product is too long	4 57%	0 0%	2 29%	1 14%	0 0%
I follow my own organisation's procedures, rather than IASC guidance	4 57%	1 14%	0 0%	2 29%	0 0%
The product is out of date	2 29%	2 29%	2 29%	1 14%	0 0%

I have a copy but do not have time to read or use it	6 86%	0 0%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%
I can't keep track of all the sources of guidance on this topic	3 43%	3 43%	1 14%	0 0%	0 0%

11. How could this IASC product be improved?

[View 5 Responses](#)

12. How could this IASC product be more widely known and used?

[View 5 Responses](#)

13. How familiar are you with these other IASC products?

Top number is the count of respondents selecting the option. Bottom % is percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	Not seen it	Seen but not used	Occasionally Used	Often used	Not sure
Gender Handbook	2 25%	1 12%	4 50%	0 0%	1 12%
Civil Military Guidelines and Reference for Complex Emergencies	1 12%	0 0%	3 38%	3 38%	1 12%
Guidelines for HIV/AIDS interventions in Emergency Settings	2 25%	4 50%	0 0%	1 12%	1 12%
Inter-Agency Contingency Planning Guidelines for Humanitarian Assistance	1 12%	1 12%	1 12%	4 50%	1 12%
Guidelines on Mental Health and Psychosocial Support	3 38%	2 25%	2 25%	0 0%	1 12%
Operational Guidelines on Human Rights and Natural Disasters	2 25%	0 0%	4 50%	1 12%	1 12%
IASC advocacy paper on Humanitarian Action and Older Persons	3 38%	2 25%	1 12%	1 12%	1 12%

14. How do you prefer to access guidance materials, in general? (select one)

Email attachment		1	12%
Hard copy		3	38%
CD-ROM / memory stick		1	12%
Download from the Internet and use later		3	38%
Read on the Internet		0	0%
Other, please specify		0	0%
Total		8	100%

15. Any other comments which may assist the Review of IASC Products:

[View 1 Responses](#)

16. If you would like to see the results of this and other surveys in this Review when it is complete, please provide your email address here. [Your email address will not be passed on to others]

[View 5 Responses](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)

[» Member login](#) [» Send my own surveys](#) [» Quick tour](#)

Survey on Savings Lives Together

[« Return to Survey Results](#)

Open Ended Responses

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)

11. How could this IASC product be improved?

#	Response
1	Same as answer to 12 below, the means of improvement is propagation
2	Update - is it still relevant with all the changes in security?
3	It describes things that need to be changed but are never changed. This suggests that the product then is unrealistic, or somehow useless.
4	using more practical materials
5	Ongoing revision as has occurred over last nine years

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)

» [Member login](#) » [Send my own surveys](#) » [Quick tour](#)

Survey on Savings Lives Together

[« Return to Survey Results](#)

Open Ended Responses

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)

#	Response
12.	How could this IASC product be more widely known and used?
1	<p>SLT merges two stream of security management theory; the NGO community has traditionally relied on "acceptance strategies" – building strong relationships with local actors that emphasize the impartiality of humanitarian assistance. The UN has also relied on "acceptance", however due to it's mandate as the world's foremost political organisation, which often includes peace keeping and enforcement, sanctions and other legal instruments, there has been a greater reliance on physical security measures such as deterrence and protection.</p> <p>The security challenges humanitarian organisations now face are increasing, the rise of extremism, in particular, has drastically impacted on the effectiveness of traditional acceptance based strategies. Some extremist groups targeting humanitarians see only black and white, with little perception of the nuanced shades of neutrality. These challenges demand innovative new approaches building on the strengths of both schools of thought. SLT provides the forum for developing and refining such strategies from which both the UN and INGO implementing partners can benefit.</p> <p>However, for a number of very complex reasons, including overarching geo-political issues concerning the nature of sovereignty and the role of the State in the maintenance of law and order, SLT has not been as effectively propagated as possible Thus the key to ensuring widespread understanding and robust implementation is of addressing long-standing SLT "stumbling blocks", previously identified and agreed upon obstacles, in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify options for reliable and consistent financial support to SLT initiatives and coordination requirements • Development of a joint SLT Information and Security Training package for UN and NGOs • Enhance guidance, monitoring and evaluation of SLT implementation in target countries, including the reinforcement of existing SLT support mechanisms • reinforce the Country level security focal point system, and enhance common approach to coordinating SLT arrangements
2	maybe it could be summarized in concise standards such as Red Cross Code of Conduct, then it would be more easier to communicate with broader audience.
3	It is know by the security community, just nothing is done with it, the realities simply stay the same. Perhaps you could more people to be aware of it and not use it as well?
4	web and e-mail and training materials
5	Translation into languages and full desimination. it is the only IASC product that is still in a report and not really a product

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-5 of 5 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)

[» Member login](#) [» Send my own surveys](#) [» Quick tour](#)

Survey on Savings Lives Together

[« Return to Survey Results](#)

Open Ended Responses

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-1 of 1 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)

15. Any other comments which may assist the Review of IASC Products:

#	Response
1	I would like to see an annual update of IASC product implementation

Display Per Page

Displaying 1-1 of 1 Responses Select Page: [First](#) | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)



[Online Surveys](#) | [Sign Up For FREE](#) | [View Our Features](#)

Copyright © 1999-2009 MarketTools Inc. All Rights Reserved. [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Help](#)