

Agenda Item	Action Points	Focal Point for follow-up	Time Frame
	<p>to be further discussed; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed that UNDAC be discussed again by the IASC members, either at the next meeting of the Working Group or at an <i>ad hoc</i> meeting. 		
<p>3. INTER-AGENCY NETWORK ON EDUCATION IN EMERGENCIES (INEE) CHRIS TALBOT (UNHCR)</p>	<p>IASC WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fully supports the initiative of the Inter-Agency Network on Education in Emergencies; and Requested that the Network's activities be linked to the IASC work plan for 2002, which will be discussed in the November IASC WG Meeting. 	<p>All agencies</p> <p>IASC Secretariat</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>November</p>
<p>4. INTER-AGENCY ISSUES: AFGHANISTAN AND THE SUB-REGION</p>	<p>IASC WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed that the subject to an endorsement by principals, a draft IASC statement would be considered explaining that humanitarian principles must guide the delivery of humanitarian aid in Afghanistan; Requested OCHA to follow up on the Swiss Government's proposal at the Afghan Forum to verify which pledges made to the donor alert for the Afghan crisis were honored. It was suggested that a donor might facilitate this task; Asked that OCHA request at a senior level that the Pakistani authorities expedite visas to aid workers; and Agreed that consolidated reports needed to be disseminated to donors, and requested agencies cooperation in providing necessary information to OCHA. 	<p>All agencies</p> <p>OCHA</p> <p>OCHA</p> <p>OCHA</p>	<p>Superseded by events.</p> <p>October</p> <p>October</p> <p>October</p>

Agenda Item	Action Points	Focal Point for follow-up	Time Frame
<p>5. CONSOLIDATED APPEALS PROCESSES (CAP) DAVID BASSIOUNI (UNICEF) MAGDA NINABER VAN EYBEN (OCHA)</p>	<p>IASC WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreed that the draft report on the Review of the CAP to be shared with all HCs and Field Offices for their contribution to the process; • Agreed to pass comments to the IASC Secretariat on recommendations mentioned on pages 15-18 in the background paper on the CAP review. These remarks will be incorporated in the action plan, which will be reviewed at the next IASC WG meeting, and endorsed at the IASC Plenary meeting in December; • Acknowledged that there was no need to defer to the evaluation of the CAP in order to give greater opportunity for strengthening the CAP mechanism; and • Agreed that an action plan be formulated based on recommendations from the CAP review and reflecting the deliberations of the Emergency Directors' Meeting. The action plan should also include the evaluation, though indicating that it would take place at a later date. 	<p>OCHA</p> <p>IASC Secretariat</p> <p>OCHA</p> <p>UNICEF</p>	<p>October</p> <p>30 October</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>November</p>
<p>6. IDP NETWORK KOFI ASOMANI (OCHA)</p>	<p>IASC WG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledged the work of the Senior Network to date, and expressed continued support for the IDP Unit to be fully operational come January 2002; • Agreed that the Country Team in Colombia should develop a humanitarian action plan which would address IDP issues, and should expand humanitarian access, through a humanitarian dialogue with key players; • Noted the need for the IDP Unit to monitor the accountability of the RC/HC and Country Teams on IDP matters; and 	<p>All agencies</p> <p>Columbia Country Team/IDP Unit</p> <p>OCHA</p>	<p>January</p> <p>January</p> <p>Ongoing</p>

Agenda Item	Action Points	Focal Point for follow-up	Time Frame
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed that protection policy papers and other relevant documents on IDP issues be resent to RC/HC as soon as possible. 	IDP Unit	October
7. TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT WITH ARMED GROUPS MARK BOWDEN (OCHA)	IASC WG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supported the establishment of an informal working group on the terms of engagement between humanitarian agencies and armed groups, and noted that it would be useful to have broader membership in the discussions. 	OCHA	Feb 2002
8. LOGISTICAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS YONAS TEGEGN (WHO)	IASC WG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Welcomed the initiative to develop a common approach to emergency logistics management, and requested that logistical support systems' group continues to report back to IASC WG on its progress. 	WHO/PAHO/WFP/OCHA	May 2002
9. UPDATE ON STATUS OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF UN/NON-UN FIELD SECURITY COLLABORATION DAVID HARLAND (OCHA)	IASC WG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed to establish a small editing group under WFP's leadership to adjust the recommendations based on OLA's suggestions, and then share the revised recommendations with UNSECOORD and OLA; and Acknowledged that once approved, the final recommendations need to be disseminated widely to IASC members, and suggested that they be highlighted at the Humanitarian Coordinators retreat. 	WFP OCHA	15 November 28 November
10. UPDATE ON HUMANITARIAN SEGMENT OF ECOSOC MARK BOWDEN (OCHA)	IASC WG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreed that OCHA would look into arranging briefings for HLWG and G-77 members on crises situations; and Recognized that preparations for ECOSOC 2002 need to begin soon, and OCHA's policy branch was requested to report to the IASC WG in November on its initial thoughts on this issue. 	OCHA OCHA	Ongoing November