|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IASC TASK TEAM ON HUMANITARIAN DEVELOPMENT NEXUS / UNWGT WORKSHOP | | | | | |
| Date and Location | | | 20 – 21 October 2016, New York City (venue tbc) | | |
| Expected Outcomes of Workshop | | | Enhanced understanding across the IASC TT and UNWGT of joint analysis and planning across the humanitarian-development-peace nexus;Roadmap for action that feeds into the work plans of the two mechanisms; | | |
| # | Time | Topic | | Details (Objective, guiding questions and methodology of the session) | Lead speaker/ Facilitator |
| Thursday, 20 October 2016 | | | | | |
|  | 8.30-9.00 | Breakfast | |  |  |
| 1 | 9:00 – 9:30 | Welcome and opening remarks | |  | UNWGT: TBCIASC: TBC |
| 2 | 9:30 – 10:00 | Setting the scene | | Objective: To provide an overview on where the global policy conversation on the humanitarian-development-peace nexus currently stands, how it relates to country operationalisation and challenges that need to be taken forward in the coming 12 months.*Background documents for the session:**Paper on financing (FAO/ICVA);* *Hum/Dev/Peace nexus paper (PBSO);**Paper on typologies (WHO);* | Sarah Cliffe |
| 3 | 10:00 –10:45 | A view from the field –Lebanon as an example of coherence | | Objective: Elaboration of a country experience on how work on the humanitarian-development-peace nexus is being taken forward concretely within a fragile setting.*Guiding questions:* *How have joint analysis and joint planning been implemented in Lebanon? (Linkages between the LCRP and UNSF)**What is the role of the Lebanese Government?**What is the role of NGOs?**Through what process and how have collective outcomes been defined in Lebanon, and how are stakeholders working towards these?**Methodology: Presentation and QA* | Philippe Lazzarini, Deputy Special Coordinator/RC/HC for Lebanon(VTC) |
| 4 | 10:45 – 11:00 | *Coffee Break* | | | |
| 5 | 11:00 –11.30 | Phrasing the context – humanitarian-development-peace nexus | | Objective: An understanding amongst participants on the contextual parameters of the discussion around joint analysis and joint planning.*Methodology: Facilitated dialogue based on the background paper on the issue* *Background documentation:* *Paper on humanitarian-development-peace nexus* | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 6 | 11.30-12.45 | Operationalising joint analysis Part I | | Objective: Formulation of concrete entry points and identification of bottle necks in operationalising joint analysis at country level.*Guiding questions:* *How do we effectively operationalize joint analysis? What tools can be utilized at country level to do so?* *What are the potential bottle necks in the context of interagency collaboration, government buy-in and leadership and planning timelines?* *What are the challenges across the various communities? How can these challenges be overcome in practice?* *Methodology: Participants will be split in groups to discuss joint analysis in an assigned country context. [Possible field colleagues could serve as resource persons in the groups, as sounding boards for the work and also to set the scene of specific typologies. Suggested field-based colleagues: Lebanon, Sudan, DRC and/or Somalia.] Groups will also be asked to start articulating points that can eventually be collated to form the joint roadmap.* *Background documents:**HDAG paper* *Draft paper on typologies**Mapping of field level efforts and pilot country**Two pager, summary of planning tools* | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 7 | 12:45 – 13:45 | *Lunch* | | | |
|  | 13:45 – 14:15 | Operationalising joint analysis Part II | | Objective: Plenary debrief on the main outcomes of the group work and analysis of any gaps.*Methodology: Facilitated discussion where groups debrief in plenary* | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 8 | 14:15 – 15:45 | Advancing collective outcomes | | Objective: Based on the work done within the analysis session, address how to move towards collective outcomes at the country level and initiate joint planning against the collective outcomes.*Guiding questions:* *How do we move from joint analysis towards defining collective outcomes?* *What are the implications for planning, especially in the context of different time frames and current planning tools?* *Concrete ways of advancing the agenda* *Methodology: 10-15-minute plenary presentation. Afterwards participants to join their respective breakout groups and, informed by the previous sessions, look at translating this to collective outcomes, according to the context of the group’s assigned typology.* Background document:Draft principles of advancing collective outcomes (?) | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 9 | 15:45 – 16:00 | *Coffee Break* | | | |
| 10 | 16:00 – 17.15 | Operationalising joint planning Part I | | Objective: To identify initial parameters and entry point for joint planning.*Guiding questions:* *What were some of the identified challenges? How can we conduct joint planning for collective outcomes?**What does it mean for existing planning frameworks?* *What are possible entry points for advancing in certain contexts the ‘one country, one UN framework’ model?* *What are the criterial and conditions for joint planning?* *Methodology: Group work**Background documents:* *Mapping of field level efforts and pilot country**Two pager, summary of planning tools* | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 11 | 17:15 – 17:30 | Wrap-up: recap of major issues from the day and identified action points/next steps | |  | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
|  | 6:00 - onwards | Drinks or dinner TBC | |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Time** | **Topic** | **Details** | **Facilitator** |
| **Friday, 21 October 2016** | | | | |
| 12 | 9:00 – 9:15 | **Recap Day 1 and Introduction to Day 2** |  |  |
| 13 | 9:15 – 11:00 | **Operationalising joint planning Part II** | **Objective:** Continue the previous day’s exercise to identify initial parameters and entry points for joint planning.   * **Guiding questions**:  *What were some of the identified challenges? How can we conduct joint planning for collective outcomes?* * *What does it mean for existing planning frameworks?* * *What are possible entry points for advancing in certain contexts the ‘one country, one UN framework’ model?*   ***Methodology:*** *Group work and plenary debrief*   * ***Background documents:*** * *Mapping of field level efforts and pilot country* * *Two pager, summary of planning tools* | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 14 | 11:00 – 11:15 | ***Coffee Break*** | | |
| 15 | 11:15 – 12:30 | **Roadmap: Identifying key actions, roles, responsibilities and timeframes Part I** | **Objective:** For participants to develop a future roadmap for joint analysis and joint planning that can be shared by the work of the IASC hum/dev TT and UNWGT  **Guiding questions:**   * Which part of the roadmap would be implemented where? * What are the timelines? Who are the leads? * How do we sustain the dialogue between the IASC TT and the UNDG-WGT?   **Methodology:** Group work | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 16 | 12:30 - 13:30 | **Lunch** | | |
| 17 | 13:30 – 15:00 | **Roadmap: Identifying key actions, roles, responsibilities and timeframe Part II** | **As above**  **Methodology:** Group work and reporting back to plenary | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |
| 18 | 15:00 – 15:30 | **Wrap-up: recap of major issues, action points and next steps** |  | Facilitation: Sarah Cliffe |