# **Grand Bargain Self-Reporting Explanatory Guidance**

- 1. All signatories to the Grand Bargain are expected to complete the self-report annually.
- Self-reports must be returned to the Grand Bargain Secretariat
  [gbsecretariat@un.org] no later than <u>Thursday 15 March</u>, <u>2018</u>. Any submissions after this date may not be considered by the 2018 Independent Grand Bargain Report.
- 3. Reporting should reflect activities and progress that has taken place between January 2017 and December 2017.
- 4. The self-report requests information by work stream, however, in order to best track progress, signatories are asked to provide as much specific and relevant detail on progress made against each of the 51 individual commitments as possible. A full list of commitments for each work stream is included in the self-report template for reference.
- 5. The questions contained in this self-report are the same as in 2017, however some work streams include additional question for signatories, at the request of the work stream co-conveners. If you are unable to provide this information, please note the reasons for this.
- 6. Signatories who have not previously completed a self-report are asked to answer question one for each work stream, to provide a baseline of where your organisation stood when it became a Grand Bargain signatory. Existing signatories can complete questions two to five for each work stream, as your 2017 self-report will have already provided the baseline information sought by question one.
- 7. Please type your answers immediately below each question asked.
- 8. Signatories are encouraged to report both on progress made, and where they may have experienced obstacles or challenges to realising their commitments.
- 9. Signatories are encouraged, where possible and relevant, to reflect on their contributions to the Grand Bargain both as recipients of humanitarian funds and donors of humanitarian funds. This will allow us to capture the transfer of benefits accrued at higher ends of the value chain down to the frontline.
- 10. Signatories are asked to limit their responses to a maximum of 500 words per work stream.
- 11. Self-reports are public documents, and will be published <u>as submitted</u> on the IASC-hosted Grand Bargain <u>website</u> from 3<sup>rd</sup> June, 2018.

- 12. Self-reports will be used to inform the 2018 Independent Annual Grand Bargain Report, which will provide a collective analysis of the progress for each work stream, and for the Grand Bargain as a whole. The Independent Annual Grand Bargain report will be published prior to the 2018 Annual Grand Bargain Meeting on 18 June 2018, in New York.
- 13. The 2018 Independent Annual Grand Bargain Report is being prepared by <u>ODI/HPG</u>. Signatories may be contacted by ODI/HPG as part of their research and preparation of the Independent Report.
- 14. If you require support or advice to complete your self-report, you may direct enquiries to the Grand Bargain Secretariat [gbsecretariat@un.org].

#### **Gender Inclusion**

Signatories are encouraged address to the gender dimensions of their Grand Bargain commitments. For reporting on each work stream, consideration should be given to the guidance provided by the <u>Aide-Memoire</u> on Gender Mainstreaming in the Grand Bargain that addresses the gender dimensions of resources, capacity, evidence and data, participation, leadership, accountability and communication within the Grand Bargain. Signatories are also welcome to provide additional detail on how they consider they have, at a macro level, ensured their Grand Bargain follow-up is gender-responsive, and to include any examples of good practice that they wish to share. This data will assist in the preparation of the 2018 Independent Grand Bargain report, which will assess the extent to which gender has been considered by Grand Bargain work streams.



# 2018 Grand Bargain Annual Self-Reporting – SCHR

## Contents

Work stream 6 – Participation Revolution	
	Baseline (only in year 1)
	Progress to date
	-
	Planned next steps
	Efficiency gains
5	Good practices and lessons learned

# **Work stream 6 – Participation Revolution**

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

- 1. Improve leadership and governance mechanisms at the level of the humanitarian country team and cluster/sector mechanisms to ensure engagement with and accountability to people and communities affected by crises.
- 2. Develop common standards and a coordinated approach for community engagement and participation, with the emphasis on inclusion of the most vulnerable, supported by a common platform for sharing and analysing data to strengthen decision-making, transparency, accountability and limit duplication.
- 3. Strengthen local dialogue and harness technologies to support more agile, transparent but appropriately secure feedback.
- 4. Build systematic links between feedback and corrective action to adjust programming.

Donors commit to:

- 5. Fund flexibly to facilitate programme adaptation in response to community feedback.
- 6. Invest time and resources to fund these activities.

*Aid organisations commit to:* 

7. Ensure that, by the end of 2017, all humanitarian response plans – and strategic monitoring of them - demonstrate analysis and consideration of inputs from affected communities.

## 1. Baseline (repeat from year 1)

Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

SCHR has taken the co-convenorship of the Participation Revolution work stream of the Grand Bargain to help facilitate and achieve effective participation of affected people in decisions that affect them in organisational as well as collective humanitarian responses.

Several SCHR members are investing in implementing the Core Humanitarian Standard (CHS) and demonstrating learning and improvement against the CHS.

SCHR through its contribution to the Board of the Humanitarian Quality Assurance Initiative (HQAI) is investing in quality assurance to objectively demonstrate adherence to humanitarian standards and good practices, including in how accountability to people affected by crisis is demonstrated.

#### 2. Progress to date

Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

SCHR has carried a peer review of its members' participation practices, to identify enablers and disenablers of effective participation.

These findings were shared and discussed in a Conference held on 22 January 2018 "Participation is power: keep it, share it or give it away?", the outcomes of which will help shape SCHR's agenda over the coming years to achieve the following goal:

In 5 years-time, participation of people affected by crisis in humanitarian response decisions that concern them has become a concrete reality. SCHR will make this happen by demonstrating and communicating best practice, strategies and approaches.

SCHR continues to promote the Core Humanitarian Standard (CHS) and its third-party verification and certification schemes.

SCHR members are increasingly investing in demonstrating learnings and improvements against the CHS.

#### 3. Planned next steps

What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

Take forward the work put forward in section 2, with a specific focus on exploring avenues to incentivise humanitarian organisations' investments in participation.

#### 4. Efficiency gains

Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

Not yet applicable

### 5. Good practices and lessons learned

Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?

Investment in the CHS and its verification scheme are enabling organisations to understand where they are doing well and what they need to improve on to achieve better participation.

The CHS is also providing a framework through which they can engage with partners on participation and related issues.