Conclusions of the Grand Bargain Rationalization process

The Facilitation Group hosted a workshop with Grand Bargain co-convenors on 14 September 2018 in Geneva to implement the recommendations of the Grand Bargain Annual Meeting on 18 June 2018 in New York. An agreement on core commitments prioritization, work-stream arrangements and indicators to measure progress has been struck, allowing the Grand Bargain to move forward with greater efficiency, accountability and impact¹.

Identification of Core Commitments

- Co-convenors have agreed to 11 core commitments (see Annex 1) across the Grand Bargain work-streams (one for each existing work-stream, with two for Localisation). Core commitments are expected to focus the collective efforts of co-convenors and signatories. The other 40 commitments remain equally valid parts of the Grand Bargain, as individual Signatories will continue with their efforts to deliver them.
- 2. The core commitments are accompanied by **priority actions** to be undertaken by co-convenors and signatories. For example, the WS3 Cash has developed 8 priority action points to deliver on quality as well as quantity of cash. Co-convenors are expected to add prioritized actions to the core commitments matrix document (Annex 1) within October 31st, 2018.

Work-streams arrangements

- 3. WS7 Multi-year funding and WS8 Reduce earmarking will cluster to focus on improving the quality of humanitarian funding. The newly combined work-stream will be co-convened by the current four Co-convenors on a rotational basis, with one donor and one aid agency taking the lead, starting with Canada and ICRC in year 3. In addition, to expand the pool of capacities, a UN agency (OCHA) and a NGO (NRC) will provide specific technical expertise to support the work-stream. Multi-year synergized work-plans will be developed to focus on improving the quality of funding, including in support of multi-year planning of agencies.
- 4. **WS1 Transparency, WS4 Reduced management costs and WS9 Harmonized reporting have agreed to enhanced collaboration** with synergies around data usage as well as harmonization and reduction of overall donor requirements. A synergized work-plan is in advanced discussion. Coconvenors will continue to maintain linkages with the other work-streams.
- 5. Co-convenors of WS2 Localization, WS3 Cash, WS5 Joint needs assessment and WS6 Participation revolution will continue implementing their work-plans, with a focus on maintaining momentum and enhanced accountability.

Indicators to measure progress

6. Co-convenors will identify one or two straightforward indicators to measure the progress of each of the 11 core commitments by late 2018. The aim is to integrate these indicators into the next annual reporting cycle. These indicators will also provide a means of measuring the progress of the Grand Bargain as a whole. Measuring progress on the core commitments does not change the obligations of the signatories to work towards fulfilment of all 51 commitments.

¹ Annexes 1 and 2 provide summary and bullet point information on the discussions among workshop participants during the workshop sessions.

ANNEX 1 – Grand Bargain core commitments

	PROPOSED CORE COMMITMENT	ORIGINAL COMMITMENT	COMPLEMENTARY COMMITMENTS (number & text)			
		(number)				
	WORK-STREAM 1: Greater transparency					
#1	Signatories make use of available data analysis, explaining the distinctiveness of activities, organisations, environments and circumstances ² .	1.2	 1.1 Publish timely, transparent, harmonised and open high-quality data on humanitarian funding within two years of the World Humanitarian Summit in Istanbul. We consider IATI to provide a basis for the purpose of a common standard. 1.3 Improve the digital platform 1.4 Support the capacity of all partners to access and publish data 			
	WORK-STREAM 2: More support and funding for local and national responders					
#2a	the institutional capacities of local and national responders, including preparedness, response and coordination.	2.1	1.4 - support the capacity of partners to access and publish data on humanitarian funding. Signatories make use of available data and take into account			

² The Co-convenors consider the following interpretation of the core commitment: 'Signatories make use of available data and take into account the distinctiveness of activities, organisations, environments and circumstances in their analyses'

#2b	Achieve by 2020 a global, aggregated target of at least 25% of humanitarian funding to local and national responders as directly as possible to improve outcomes for affected people and reduce transaction costs.	2.4	2.6 - make greater use of funding tools that increase and improve assistance delivered by local and national responders, such as UN-led CBPFs, the IFRC Secretariat's Disaster Relief Emergency Fund (DREF) and NGO-led and other pooled funds.
	WORK-STREAM 3: Increase th	ne use and coord	lination of cash programming
#3	Increase the routine use of cash, where appropriate alongside other tools. Some may wish to set targets.	3.1 and 3.6	3.5 - ensure that coordination, delivery and monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are put in place for cash transfers.
	WORK-STREAM 4: Reduce duplication	and manageme	nt costs with periodic functional review
#4	Make joint regular functional monitoring and performance reviews and reduce individual donor assessments, evaluations, verifications, risk management and oversight processes.	4.5	9.1 - simplify and harmonise reporting requirements by the end of 2018 by reducing the volume of reporting, jointly deciding on common terminology, identifying core requirements and developing a common report structure.
			8.1 - jointly determine on an annual basis, the most effective and efficient way of reporting on unearmarked and softly earmarked funding and initiate this reporting by end of 2017.
			8.3 - be transparent and regularly share information with

			donors outlining the criteria for how core and unearmarked funding is allocated.			
	WORK-STREAM 5: Improve joint and impartial needs assessments					
#5	Provide a single, comprehensive cross-sectoral, methodologically sound and impartial overall assessment of needs for each crisis to inform strategic decisions on how to respond and fund, thereby reducing the number of assessments and appeals produced by individual organisations.	5.1	5.2 - Coordinate and streamline data collection, to ensure compatibility, quality and comparability, and minimise intrusion into the lives of affected people. Conduct the overall assessment in a transparent, collaborative process, led by the HC-RC, with the full involvement of the Humanitarian Country Team and the clusters-sectors, and, in the case of sudden-onset disasters, where possible by the government. Ensure sector–specific assessments for operational planning are undertaken under the umbrella of a coordinated plan of assessments at inter-cluster or sector level. 5.3a – signatories share needs assessment data in a timely manner with appropriate mitigation of protection and privacy risks.			
	WORK-STREAM 6: A participation revolution					
#6	Improve leadership and governance mechanisms at the level of the humanitarian county team	6.1	6.4 - build systematic links between feedback and corrective action to adjust programming.			

	(HCT) and cluster/sector mechanisms to ensure engagement with and accountability to people and communities affected by crises.		6.5 - fund flexibly to facilitate programme adaptation in response to community feedback.
	WORK-STREAM 7: Increase collabora	tive humanitaı	ian multi-year planning and funding³
#7	Signatories increase multi-year, collaborative and flexible planning and multi-year funding. Aid organisations ensure that the same terms of multi-year funding agreements are applied with their implementing partners.	7.1	8.2 - Donors commit to reduce the degree of earmarking of funds contributed by governments and regional groups who currently provide low levels of flexible finance. Aid organisations in turn commit to do the same with their funding when channelling it through partners. 8.5 - Donors commit to progressively reduce the earmarking of their humanitarian contributions. The aim is to aspire to achieve a global target of 30% of humanitarian contributions that are non-earmarked or
			softly earmarked by 2020. 10.4 - Perform joint multi-hazard risk and vulnerability analysis, and multi-year planning where feasible and relevant, with national, regional and local coordination in order to achieve a shared vision for outcomes. Such a shared vision for outcomes will be developed on the basis of shared risk analysis between Humanitarian,

³ Work-streams 7 and 8 name their cluster: 'Enhance quality funding through reduced earmarking and multiyear planning and funding'.

			development, communities.	stabilisation	and	peacebuilding
	WORK-STREAM 8: Reduce the earmarking of donor contributions					
#8	Donors progressively reduce earmarking, aiming to achieve a global target of 30% of humanitarian contributions that are unearmarked or softly earmarked by 2020. Aid organisations reduce earmarking when channelling donor funds with reduced earmarking to partners.	8.2 and 8.5	7.1 (proposed comulti-year, collabyear funding. Air terms of multi-year their implementing. 9.1 (proposed contamination of the voice o	corative and fleated organisation are funding agreed on the second of th	ent 10) to by the ting, joir g core resucture. to 5) – Marformana seessmen	nning and multi- that the same are applied with - simplify and e end of 2018 by atly deciding on equirements and ake joint regular ce reviews and ts, evaluations,
	WORK-STREAM 9: Harmonise and simplify reporting requirements					
#9	Simplify and harmonise reporting requirements	9.1	8.1 - jointly det	ermine, on an	annual	basis, the most

	by the end of 2018 by reducing the volume of reporting, jointly deciding on common terminology, identifying core requirements and developing a common report structure.		effective and efficient way of reporting on unearmarked and softly earmarked funding and initiate this reporting by the end of 2017. 8.3 - be transparent and regularly share information with donors outlining the criteria for how core and unearmarked funding is allocated (for example urgent needs, emergency preparedness, forgotten contexts, improved management).
#10	Perform joint multi-hazard risk and vulnerability analysis, and multi-year planning where feasible and relevant, with national, regional and local coordination to achieve a shared vision for outcomes. Such a shared vision for outcomes will be developed on the basis of shared risk analysis between humanitarian, development, stabilisation and peacebuilding communities.	ent on humanita	5.7 - conduct risk and vulnerability analysis with development partners and local authorities, in line with humanitarian principles, to ensure the alignment of humanitarian and development programming 7.3 - strengthen existing coordination efforts to share analysis of needs and risks between humanitarian and development sectors and to better align humanitarian and development planning tools and interventions, while respecting the principles of both.

ANNEX 2 - Ensuring appropriate working arrangements to achieve agreed core commitments

Work-streams 7 & 8

- Agreement to cluster the two work-streams into one, focused on 'Enhance quality funding through reduced earmarking and multiyear planning and funding'
- Will work towards core commitments 7.1, 8.2 and 8.5
- Developing work plan that includes priority actions:
 - 1. Mapping and analysis of quality funding (WS 1 may be able to help)
 - 2. Advance existing agreements on multiyear planning
 - 3. Articulate specific linkages with other work-streams
- Ways of working:
 - Will have 2 rotating co-convenors, drawn from current 4. The team will also have
 1 NGO (NRC) and OCHA for technical support to the work-stream
- Challenges:
 - Outreach to other work-streams for greater synergy
 - Advancement of the WS8 which has not made progress to the same extent as WS7

Work-streams 1, 4 and 9

- Agreement to focus on increasing 'co-working' around specific areas of action including field level pilots.
- Each of the three work-streams will remain engaged with other relevant work-streams outside of this grouping.
- Developing action plan that identifies actions to be worked on together. Will be shared in coming week(s).

Work-streams 2, 3, 5, 6 and Cross cutting commitment on humanitarian-development nexus

- Considered overarching questions including:
 - How can signatories use/better exploit the catalytic role that the Grand Bargain can play on long-standing issues of concern (e.g. scaling up cash, etc)?
 - How can CCs better coordinate and sequence actions across different workstreams given the differing priorities and capacities of each work-stream?
 - o How can signatories better monitor themselves, hold themselves accountable?
 - How can signatories arrange themselves in order to get more traction, to be more productive? Still too siloed.