TYPOLOGY OF HUMANITARIAN-**DEVELOPMENT- PEACEBUILDING** RESPONSE AND ENGAGEMENT **SCENARIOS** 

Responsibility

LOW

# **TYPE 1: CONSTRAINED** Government/authorities **LOW** unwilling to uphold obligation and responsibility to protect, and limiting the scope of Capacity/Resources international involvement **Engagement**: limited joint engagement with government/authorities, but strong emphasis on local capacities, local civil society. Remote management and

#### **Main Assumptions:**

- Basis for discussion: This paper is prepared to not only inform, but also be informed by country discussions.
- Scoping: these typologies are proposed to help frame the conversation, by offering a common reference point to take decisions on scoping.
- Theoretical: These typologies theoretical and are meant to fit the most amounts of real life cases, acknowledging that they might not fully capture all of them.
- These types exist at many levels: the typologies not only apply to governments, but also other forms of authorities that HDP actors need to interact with.
- There can be multiple types in one country: these typologies can coexist within the same country, where different power structures, governmental authorities exists in different geographic locations; LOCAL/ NATIONAL/ **REGIONAL**

### **TYPE 2: CAPACITY-DRIVEN**

management by opportunity

Government/authorities upholds responsibility, but little to low capacity, low ongoing budget support

Engagement: strong emphasis on capacity-building, significant service delivery in consultation/at request of Government and with a view of handing over operations and engagement to government as soon as possible

# **TYPE 3: CONSULTATIVE**

Strong and 'responsible' government/authority, recovering or emerging political settlement, but high intensity or active conflict/insecure operational context.

Engagement: targeted service delivery under leadership of government, relatively limited international operational activity. International expertise used when needed, operational involvement maybe requested after consultation with the government or authority in situations of low access, or instability with the aim of filling gaps

### **TYPE 5: COMPREHENSIVE** (to be avoided if possible)

LOW

HIGH

HIGH

Security/Access

'Failed State' government/ authority shirks responsibility, in the midst of active, high intensity conflict situation.

**Engagement**: International actors mobilize funds; needs are great and local capacity is overwhelmed; humanitarian agencies take the lead. Blanket service delivery.

#### **TYPE 4: COLLABORATIVE**

HIGH

Government/authority is willing and able to uphold its obligation and responsibility to protect in a stable situation and has adequate capacity to respond Engagement: role of international response is to support and complement existing capacity. Humanitarian and development engagement and service delivery is shared between government and international partners