IASC RG2 Meeting Minutes

(Meeting for RG2 Members and Leads of Deliverables)
Monday October 7th, 2019

PARTICIPANTS

Meritxell Relaño (RG2 Co-Chair/UNICEF), Tanya Axisa (RG2), Stewart Davies (OCHA), Isabelle De Muyser-Boucher (IASC); Wendy Cue (IASC), Anna Jaffe (Interaction), Ashley Augsburger (Interaction), Katie Wepplo (UNICEF), Mariska Dekeers (IOM), Marian Casey-Maslen (CDAC), Marina Fernandez Buil (UNICEF), Greta Gamberini (IDA), Sien Andries (HI), Miranda brown (CHS Alliance), Bonaventure Sokpoh (CHS Alliance), Jaqueline Carlson (UNDP), Adelheid Marschang (WHO), Paolo Tartaglia (Intersos), Charles-Antoine Hofmann (UNICEF), Tanya Wood (CHS Alliance), Meghan Sullivan (WFP), Amit Sen (UNHCR), Lina Aggernaes (UNFPA), Alon Plato (ICVA), Yasmine Elbehiery (GPC).

AGENDA

- 1. Meeting Introduction
- 2. Review of IASC RG2 2019 Report prior to OPAG Presentation (due 11th October)
- 3. Review of IASC RG2 Working Plan vision for 2020
- 4. AoB

DISCUSSION

1. Meeting Introduction

Aim of the meeting:

- Discuss the **2019 progress component of the OPAG Report** draft and seek any **changes or additions** from leads of deliverables.
- Present the **2020 component of the report with proposed indicators** to be discussed and present the **next steps** for finalizing the 2020 workplan.

2. Review of IASC RG2 2019 Report

Discussion:

- Pag. 10, 'Inclusion of Persons with Disabilities': To be noted that the Guidelines are 'under endorsement process'.
- Point 3, under PSEA Section: To be noted that discussions, work around Implementing and Acceleration plans, and Interagency Technical Support with PSEA Technical Specialists are 'ongoing'.
 - In addition to bringing together technical expertise, there is a need to ensure adequate
 NGO and INGO participation and contribution of expertise.
- Point 5: The term 'outstanding' needs to be reconsidered. There is ongoing discussion and work to develop a common tool to harmonize standards, to be used by both UN partners to assess their protocols and those of their partners, and for NGO self-assessment. While expectations might need to be clarified, the tool is expected to be finalized in the next cycle. At present, a small task team is working to find a methodology with which to accept agencies' assessments based on different tools to the greatest degree possible so as to avoid duplication of labor and effort, and working towards the creation of a common tool to facilitate the process in a close future.

The final draft of the report will be finalized on the 9th of October based on outstanding inputs raised and then shared with the co-chairs and IASC Secretariat to refine any outstanding areas. It will be shared with OPAG on Friday the 11th of October, and discussing and presented in the OPAG Meeting of 7th- 8th November.

Action Points:

- UNICEF will provide some additional information regarding field missions.
- (Point 5) UNCHR will provide a line regarding the ongoing process of developing a common tool to harmonize standards.

3. Review of IASC RG2 Working Plan vision for 2020

- The 2020 IASC RG2 vision, which focuses on 3 priority areas to sustain a more integrated approach than that of 2019, brings on board feedback received from ERC, OPAG and the IASC Secretariat, which noted:
 - A need to show transformative change
 - A need for more coherence
 - A need for more **integration** between activities and more integrated plans
 - A need to show the added value of RG2
 - A need for greater focus towards targeted audiences (predominantly HCs and HCTs)

Discussion:

The **2020 Priority Areas of Work** and their **Concrete Deliverables** (problem statement + collective change needed) have been outlined in an internal document for IASC RG2 members' operational needs.

- **Point 2, first section, last bullet point:** The **expertise of GBV AOR** in engaging with victims and survivors of PSEA should be further captured. GBV AOR is important at service delivery but also an important point of critical reflection on victim/survivor-centred policies and processes.
- Regarding 'Quality and Actionable Complaints', the entry point for change or strengthening needs to be clarified: Is it the reporting mechanism and the humanitarian personnel recording the disclosure (in the sense that they are capacitated and trained to gather the right amount of information optimally, sensitively and successfully); is it the building trust among affected people and encouraging them to make actionable disclosures by proving real zero tolerance towards SEA; or is it both?
- Regarding the **objective 'Risk of SEA reduced':** Tools like the IP Protocol approach SEA as a structural phenomenon. To ensure coherence, it should be clearer that the point refers to **what it is done** in a certain environment, not the environment per se.
- Point 3: Need for additional discussion with/regarding the Global Protection Cluster.
- Point 2, 'Accountability and Inclusion': Need to expand language on Inclusion.
- Point 1, Ultimate Goal: Specific and actionable activities and deliverables need to be devised.
- Need to consider how to approach and engage other actors while addressing the OPAG/IASC feedback of 'greater focus towards targeted audiences'.
- Point 3.3: Modify 'response level' to include 'organizational and response level'

The parts that specific organizations come to play in the 2020 Workplan Framework (colored in red in the shared document) and gaps to be yet filled have been outlined.

• **Point 2.2:** Rewording of 'investigations funds' to **'investigations pools'.**

- Reflect in the working plan **decisions and processes made at IASC Principal's level**, particularly regarding the implementation and acceleration plan on PSEA, including support to HC and HCTs.
- It is key to **operationalize standards** into a policy or common approach towards **provision of** assistance to victims or survivors of SEA.
- Need to ensure compliance with Grand Bargain Indicators to avoid a multiplicity of indicators.
- Rewording of 'CFMs' to 'Functioning CFMs', since there can be optimally structured CBCMs and yet very different actual processes of receiving and dealing with information.
- Need to consider data sharing agreements.
- Need to consider a common language in information provision campaigns to ensure they are timely and relevant.
- Attention has been raised towards reducing accountability to accountability mechanisms in RG2
 Meetings. There is a need to lift the language and re-engage with concepts framing the
 Participation Revolution such as participation, engagement, and safeguarding, despite the
 constraints to concretize them in action plans.

Action Points:

- UNFPA's Humanitarian Office will review the language used in the 'Risk of SEA Reduced' point
- CHS Alliance will send some wording for point 2.2

4. AoB

A small working group will develop an **initial draft of the 2020 workplan**, including indicators, for sharing with the RG2 group ahead of the next meeting on the **6**th **November (UNHCR 3-5pm)**. If anyone would like to join the group please contact Tanya.

Additions and/or changes will be requested before release of its final version at the end of November/start of December.