#### **RG2 2 December Meeting Summary**

## 1. Overview of OPAG meeting

The Co-Chairs and IASC Secretariat presented an overview of the OPAG meeting; including points specific to RG2, the deep-dive discussion on AAP and points relevant to all Results Groups (detail included in presentation and email invitation).

### Points and questions raised by members:

- Agreement that culture change is key to all work on accountability and inclusion
- Some questions on how operational support fits with RG2 given OPAG's clear steer that the RG's role is normative in nature. Co-Chairs
  and Secretariat summarised that our role is to harmonise organisation's field support and make available services/support known
  (including best practices) rather than provide them directly.

## 2. Presentation and discussion on RG2 2020 Workplan

Co-Chairs presented the workplan noting that:

- Priority Area 1 aims to bring together existing collective initiatives that can track the collective accountability and inclusion of responses
  with a view to providing a global overview, advocacy messages and reports.
- Priority Area 2 aims to develop an IASC endorsed accountability and inclusion framework to be owned by HCs and HCTs but will
  include all elements of work that contribute to collective approaches and processes on the ground –with a particular focus on linkages
  between AAP, PSEA and GBV as well as CFMs that can handle complaints of SEA (CBCMs). In this priority area the work of PSEA
  colleagues is also represented but where possible we will try and also integrate these into the other priority areas.
- Priority Area 3 aims to make it easier for HCs/HCTs, Frontline Responders etc. to feed in best practise (particular emphasis on best
  practise of local actors) access standards, policies, guidance, tools and resources (including staff) on all components of accountability
  and inclusion through a service directory linked to the global platform.

### There will be 2 plans

- One just for OPAG (as they only want to see the topline outputs) see sections in white
- The other one as our internal RG2 implementation plan see extra sections in yellow

### The OPAG plan had been adapted and shared with OPAG based on initial thoughts by members and the Secretariat:

- A couple of members asked that the PSEA components were more visible
- Feedback from the IASC Secretariat was included in the latest version; to align with other RGs

# The Co-Chairs opened the floor for discussion asking that:

- We don't change the overarching framework i.e. what has been shared with OPAG already (WHITE)
- We focus on the activities, outputs and how organisations can contribute (either through building on achievements in 2019 or new ideas). To help understand the type of contribution RG2 is are looking for, a column on 'involved organisations' is included.
- · We focus on the working modalities

# Summary of discussion:

# General:

• UNICEF Disability focal point stated their commitment to work with RG2 in 2020. Coordinator and Co-Chair explained that this workplan integrated persons with disabilities and other cross-cutting themes throughout the priority areas and the role of RG2 would also be to socialize and raise awareness on the products of associated entities (Persons with Disabilities RG, Gender Reference Group, etc.).

### Priority Area 1:

- Members offered their support for this priority area (REACH, CHS Alliance) and others suggested additional tools HQAI, Grand Bargain Participation Revolution indicators, in-country accountability frameworks and Centrality of Protection indicators that could be used.
- Members agreed that this was a worthwhile exercise but that we need to be clear on the vision before the developing the indicators and manage expectations. They also reiterated that accountability is broader than some of the component parts (e.g. protection).

# Priority Area 2:

#### Concrete deliverable: Framework

- Members offered their support for this priority area: CDAC with its ongoing work to develop AAP National Platforms (preparedness and
  response); IOM through its in-country PSEA support project, work with PSEA Coordinators to integrate PSEA into HNOs and HRPs and
  inter-agency missions to develop links between AAP and PSEA; the Disability Inclusion group through its work to integrate disability into
  HNOs and HRPs; the CHS Alliance (building on their collective work in Chad, etc.); the work of IFRC, OCHA, UNICEF and CDAC on
  common services and SOPs; and Translators without Borders through their inter-agency common service work.
- The establishment of Technical Expert Groups (on AAP, PSEA and SH, gender, persons with disabilities) to support this priority area and priority area 3 was discussed and broadly accepted. Members raised the need to include a group on 'age' to cover aspects related to children, youth and the elderly. Save the Children and Terre des Hommes offered their support to this; and it was discussed that RG2 should consider connecting with the Gender with Age Marker working group rather than establishing a parallel forum.
- Members raised the need to link with Results Group 4 on Humanitarian-Development Collaboration; work on refugees and to the group
  on mitigating the abuse of power in cash assistance.

## Concrete deliverable: PSEA work

- Members working on PSEA welcomed the addition to the workplan.
- UNHCR asked that the communications fund for national NGOs be included in priority area 2 and that the work on culture change also be represented (follow-up needed to see where this best fits priority area 1 or 2).
- UNICEF asked that the IASC acceleration plan was referenced in priority area 2.
- There was some discussion on the new additions to the workplan deployment of PSEA Coordinators given the OPAG clear steer that RG2's work should be normative in nature and not operational. It was agreed that we should reference the work to harmonise and build coherence between organisation's efforts.
- Members stated the links between the Grand Bargain workstreams 2 and 6 for this component.

### Priority Area 3:

- WFP confirmed their commitment to leading the development of the service directory.
- UNICEF asked that the development of the PSEA microsite be referenced in the Global Platform outputs.

### 3. Next steps

Co-Chairs laid out the next steps for consultation on the 2020 workplan:

- Written inputs on the implementation plan (components in yellow only) will be accepted up to Friday 13 December
- We will consolidate inputs (where possible), in line with the overarching framework, update the internal implementation plan and share back with members and associate members by the end of the year.

## Points and questions raised by members:

- Members asked if there had been any agreement on the time-frame for RG2 in the OPAG meeting. Co-Chairs stated that this had been
  a concern of all the Results Groups but there had not been any concrete conclusions during or since the meeting. However, RG2 is
  assuming that it will be delivering for the whole of 2020 and that the co-chairs had committed to 2 years (2019 and 2020).
- Members asked for an annual calendar of meetings and were also asked to provide us with any inputs on significant events for the websites, etc.