## RESULTS GROUP 4 - HUMANITARIAN DEVELOPMENT COLLABORATION

Co-Chairs: OXFAM, UNDP

PRIORITY AREAS  OF WORK	CONCRETE DELIVERABLES	TIMELINES & STATUS	LEADS	PARTNERS	LINKAGES ACROSS RGs
safeguarding humanitarian principles.	disseminate <b>Practical Guidance or other tool</b> to help humanitarians in the field understand when and how they can engage with <b>Peace actors</b> , processes and programming (noting that RG4 exists to provide advice to humanitarians and is not a peace group per se).	Q2/Q3 2021  The sub-group have mapped existing guidance and tool kits on engaging with peace actors. A Concept Note on outlining the final deliverables was agreed by RG4 in March; concept for the development of an app to ease stakeholders' access to key information is currently being finalised.	WFP, IOM, UNICEF, ILO, WVI, UNFPA, PBSO		
	[2nd TIER PRIORITY 2021] Develop messaging about how to make nexus approaches compatible with humanitarian principles, based on concrete challenges shared by members and others.	Q3/Q4 2021	UNICEF, ICVA, ICRC, PBSO		
			World Vision, PBSO	ICVA, ICRC, UNFPA, ILO, OHCHR, Interpeace, UNDP, WFP, UNICEF, OCHA	NA

	Humanitarian-Development Nexus and its Links to Peace.	[COMPLETED] The Key Messages were incorporated in the issue paper which is up to date on current developments.	World Vision, IOM	UNSDG	NA
practices on humanitarian, development and peace collaboration within the IASC.	understanding of <b>financing across the nexus</b> , also to use as input to our OECD DAC/INCAF discussions on donors "walking the talk".	TBC – to be taken forward in 2021	ICVA, Save the Children, UNICEF, DI, UNDP, WVI, Oxfam	OECD/INCAF	RG5
	that RG4 will need to create on "good nexus approaches", mapping where the nexus is happening in the field, looking at what is working, what models are being used, and highlighting good practice. Aim is to keep the mapping up to date and accessible for all members.			Community of Practice	RG5
	[1st TIER PRIORITY 2021] Consult with local organizations on their experience of nexus approaches and look at where RG4 can support these actors.	Q2 and Q3 2021	Oxfam, Save the Children, UNICEF, ILO, ICVA, UNFPA, InterAction,		RG1

[1st TIER PRIORITY 2021] Learn about what works for INGOs and NNGOs under a nexus approach. [2nd TIER PRIORITY 2021] Consider how to take a nexus approach to climate and disasters.	Q2 and Q3 2021  TBC – to be taken forward in 2021	ICVA, WVI, InterAction, Oxfam ILO, ICVA, WVI, UNFPA		
Identify key moments in 2021 that could generate opportunities for the nexus agenda (especially related to global policy events/processes)		<b>undp</b> , di		
Map groups working on the nexus and their key focus to ensure complementarity (JSC, UNSDG, TT4, DAC early adherents, INCAF/UN adherents group, etc.)		UNDP, WFP, ICVA		
collaboration and support the application of a nexus approach on those areas in fragile contexts.	[COMPLETED] The "Commitments into Action" paper was completed in Q2 2020, which identifies four action areas, namely (i) Diverse financing, (ii) Multistakeholder engagement, (iii) Knowledge, analysis and information sharing, and (iv) Full range of tools and approaches. An INCAF-IASC meeting took place on 14 May to apply a nexus approach in fragile contexts. A follow-up meeting will take place in November to discuss progress, while knowledgesharing activities such as webinars on relevant themes will be arranged in between the two meetings.		UNSDG, OECD INCAF	NA
OECD/INCAF/RG4 workshop on building a common understanding of 'what success looks like' in implementation of nexus approaches and to help strengthen collaboration and complementarity between bilateral and multilateral approaches in taking forward nexus approaches.	Ţ	IOM, INCAF	OECD/INCAF, DAC network on conflict and Fragility	NA

		nexus (ongoing) as key collaboration areas.			
Upon request, provide support to country operations through a system of pooling or coordinating capacities, including by working with the humanitarian-development nexus community of practitioners, the Joint Steering Committee, among others.	community of practice Network (HDN	[CONTINUOUS] The Network continues to provide an informal space as a connecting hub for country-level and global discussions, sharing knowledge and lessons learned, and bringing together different actors. Its activities are supportive of RG4's other workstreams, including the development of the guidance on collective outcomes and knowledge management. A survey was recently conducted three years after the Network's establishment, where interest was expressed for the Network to pursue advocacy nexus, address country-specific challenges/ solutions with regards to nexus. Its ToR was reviewed to reflect this way forward.	WFP, ICVA	NA	NA
	Collate and provide information regarding IASC members' capacities that can be pooled to respond to requests for technical support from the field. (The purpose is to maintain information on HDPN support capacity; to reduce overlaps and cover gaps where required; to facilitate contact between agencies and organizations in respective regions/ countries.)	information on the HDPN capacity deployed for country support was built in <b>December 2019</b> , to be continuously updated.	Co-Chairs (UNDP, Oxfam)	NA	NA
	Provide HDPN support to Chad.	[ONGOING] RG4 co-Chairs are in contact with Chad RCO to support identifying surge support for a HDPN adviser; RG4 supported developing TOR for an HDPN adviser.	Co-Chairs (UNDP, Oxfam)		

	Put together an induction package for nexus advisors being deployed to the field including reading and lists of people to talk to this could become a general training workstream.  Put in place a Nexus mentor system		OCHA, ICVA, WVI, IOM, PBSO Co-Chairs		
	, ,		(Oxfam, UNDP)		
Strengthen the sharing of data and analysis and enable the adaptation of planning tools to support better alignment between country-based humanitarian and development plans.	[1st TIER PRIORITY 2021] Engage with the 40+ countries undertaking a CCA and/or UNSDCF (UN development planning process) in 2021 to ensure they take a nexus approach.	To be taken forward in 2021  The sub-group is in contact with 30 country teams to support their CCA processes to ensure the HDN is well reflected, to ensure CCA around risk is well developed and opportunities for linkages to peace are taken into account. The sub-group facilitates sharing of information with other key stakeholders at country level to facilitate information sharing with NGO, who are excluded from the CCA process.	DCO, ICVA, WVI		
	country-based humanitarian and development plans through input provided	[COMPLETED] Finalized in August 2019. RG4 member provided substantial inputs to the companion documents on HDPN and the CCA of the UNSDCF.	RG4 members	UNSDCF	RG5
Disseminate guidance on collective outcomes in order to ensure a common understanding of analysis, funding and financial strategies, and effective coordination initiatives.	Plan and support the dissemination and socialization of the <u>UN-IASC Light</u> <u>Guidance on Collective Outcomes</u> .	[CONTINUOUS] Dissemination efforts continue, including through P2P webinar, UNHCR and GPC channels.	,	Save the Children, P2P	RG5
	Develop the <u>UN-IASC Light Guidance on</u> <u>Collective Outcomes</u> .	[COMPLETED] Finalized in Q2 2020.	WHO, UNHCR	DCO, OECD, PBSO	RG5

	Light conceptualization on collective outcomes as a contribution to the ongoing discussion on collective outcomes.	[COMPLETED] Finalized in Q2 2019. The light conceptualization was a predeliberation for the development of the guidance on collective outcomes. It has been disseminated through the IASC website (LINK).	WFP	DCO, PBSO, OECD/INCAF	RG5
Support the system-wide response to COVID-19, notably the IASC Principals decisions, from the nexus perspectives.	Develop a shared set of indicators to support the complementary of work around the GHRP, SPRP and the UN framework for the immediate socioeconomic response to COVID-19.		OHCHR, UNHCR, UNFPA, DCO, WFP and InterAction	JSC (tbc)	NA
	Contribute to reinforcing socio-economic and nexus considerations in the GHRP.	[COMPLETED] IASC principals in their meeting on 17 April agreed to ensure that the GHRP revision process, not only looks at the humanitarian needs in the targeted countries, but also has a good reading of socio-economic frameworks and nexus considerations. In reaction to this, RG4 provided nexus inputs to be considered for the second iteration of the GHRP.	Co-Chairs -	NA	NA