		WHAT WERE THE RESULTS/OUTCOMES OF THIS ACTION?	REPORTED AT COUNTRY LEVEL AGAINST THIS COMMITMENT? (Please specify countries AND results)	HOW WERE CONSIDERATIONS OF GENDER EQUALITY AND WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT[1] INTEGRATED IN YOUR INSTITUTIONAL EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT THIS COMMITMENT?	INDICATOR DEVELOPED BY WORKSTREAM CO-CONVENERS	PLEASE REPORT THE REQUESTED DATA FOR THIS INDICATOR
1.2. Signatories make use of appropriate data analysis, explaining the distinctiveness of activities, organisations, environments and circumstances.	projects, on a quarterly basis.	The data is available internally, for FAO colleagues, and externally to countries, donors and other organizations.	FAO IATI data includes projects implemented at country level as well as global and regional activities.		Are you (or any of your affiliates) using IATI data and accessing IATI-compatible data platforms and tools (or different data standards/platforms/tools) in order to enable evidence-informed decision-making, greater accountability and learning? [2] (Yes/no question) Can you expand on your above answer, giving an example(s) of how you use or are intending to use data published via IATI, or when applicable via other data standards/platforms/tools?	N/A
WORK STREAM 2 - LOCALISATION						
	secure funding dedicated to strengthening capacities of local actors incl. CSOs in 2022. Work has been initiated to review partnership instruments with local actors in order to faciliate and advance localization. Due Diligence exercises were conducted with CSOs in seven countries namely CAR, Burkina Faso, Mali, DRC,	local partners in programming, operations, admin and finance which provides an understanding of the		Security Cluster capacity building initiatives of local actors took place in key crisis affected contexts focusing on gender, AAP, PSEA and protection mainstreaming.	% of partnership or funding agreements that incorporate multi-year institutional capacity strengthening support for local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % awarded to women-led and or women rights' organizations[3]	
2.4. Achieve by 2020, a global aggregated target of at least 25% of humanitarian funding to local and national responders as directly as possible to improve outcomes for affected people and reduce transaction costs.	countries, FAO has stepped up efforts to support	A total of approx. 11% of FAO funding received for emergency projects was transferred to local and national responders.	humanitarian assistance namely through provision		% of humanitarian funding awarded as directly as possible to local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % of that funding awarded to women-led and/or women rights' organizations.	11%
WORK STREAM 3 - CASH-BASED						
PROGRAMMING 3.1+3.6. Increase the routine use of cash, where appropriate, alongside other tools. Some may wish to set targets.	implementation of the FAO manual on Cash Transfers, adopted in 2019. In parallel, a new FAO manual on Vouchers has been developed. Dedicated capacities for cash and voucher assistance have been maintained in HQs, and increased in regional and country offices. CVA remained central in FAO's	System-based tracking tools and corporate mechanisms for cash transfer clearance, quality and compliance are in place. The number of CO routinely considering CVA is increasing. Specific considerations exist to facilitate collaboration with UN agencies and local NGOs; support to existing social protection programs, in particularly in the context of Anticipatory Action.	and/or vouchers have been approved in 32 countries. This is as of available data in Jan 2022 and subject to final consolidated data (by March 2022)	context where the main CVA modality used by FAO is cash-for-work (e.g.	Total volume (USD value) transferred through cash, transfer value only, excluding overhead/support costs	data analysis ongoing - consolidated data will be available in March 2022
	Decentralized Offices in 2021	New programme linking anticipatory actions, cash transfers and social protection systems has been developed and implemented in 2021.	List of 32 countries: Afghanistan; Burkina Faso; Burundi; Cameroon; Central African Republic; Chad; Democratic Republic of the Congo; Djibouti; Ethiopia; Guinea Bissau; Haiti; Kenya; Laos; Lebanon; Lesotho; Madagascar; Mali;		Total volume (USD value) transferred through vouchers, transfer value only, excluding	data analysis ongoing - consolidated data will be available

4.5. Make joint regular functional monitoring and performance reviews and reduce individual donor assessments, evaluations, verifications, risk management and oversight processes.	Joint - donors					N/A[4]	N/A
	UN agencies	FAO is contributing to: 1. The first of its kind evaluation of HDP in FAO (published) 2. Joint evaluation of RBA collaboration 3. Contribution and planning of two IAHE evaluations (Ethiopia and Afghanistan) 4. Contribution and planning of joint UN agency review of climate security/peace 5. increased recruitment of local and national 3rd	Increased understanding and actionable recommendations for better collaboration and increased effectiveness. Increased collaboration and sharing of information and resources between agencies. Opportunities to access areas in a timely and cost-efficient manner. Increased engagement and collaboration with local and national agencies	Country Programme Evaluations (http://www.fao.org/evaluation/evaluation- digest/recently-completed-evaluations/en) Forthcoming results in Syria, Yemen, DRC and South Sudan (LTA with agency for data collection (Syria and Yemen) and impact studies (DRC and SSD) to be analyzed and reported on in upcoming evaluation. Forthcoming results in Yemen	FAO completes the UN-SWAP on an annual basis, which is led by UN Women and includes gender equality (indicator 4 is on Evaluation). Gender is also a critical cross-cutting evaluation criteria considered in all evaluations.		with CSO and non-CSOs. Consideration to use UN partner
	Civil society					% of civil society organizations/non-governmental organizations partners of the UN agencies adopting the common UN Partner Portal process.	_
WORK STREAM 5 - NEEDS ASSESSMENTS							
5.1. Provide a single, comprehensive, cross-sectoral, methodologically sound, and impartial overall assessment of needs for each crisis to inform strategic decisions on how to respond and fund, thereby reducing the number of assessments and appeals produced by individual organisations.		FAO with WFP, the IPC GSU, the gFSC, donors, has continued to provide inputs on the development of the JIAF to provide a holistic understanding of inter-sectoral needs to better inform humanitarian response planning. During 2021, FAO was actively involved in providing input into a JIAF review process which was undertaken by Yale University and resulted in a number of recommendations for action. These were subsequently endorsed with some modifications by the JIAF Steering Committee and form the basis for Joint analysis for the HNO 2023 (interim methodology) and beyond (from 2024, it is expected that the JIAF 2.0 methodology will be rolled out).	being developed, an interim methodology is being developed for the HNO 2023. FAO will continue to play a full part at the technical and strategic levels as this is developed.	During 2021, several countries engaged in a revised harmonised needs assessment process (JIAF 1.0). This is a stepping stone to a revised methodology which will be rolled out over several stages, starting with an interim methodology for HNO 2023 and then being further developed for HNO 2024 and beyond.	The Joint Analysis Framework is constructed to incorporate gender and age disaggregated analysis wherever disaggregated data is available.	identified and which actions have you been taking over the past year to strengthen humanitarian needs assessments and needs analysis in field locations and at headquarters? To which extent are these actions contributing to better joint (multi-stakeholders) inter-sectoral needs analysis in the field?	periodic data collection mainly based on phone surveys. FAO has also strengthened country capacities (recruitment, capacity building). This has been developed substantially in 2021. Our data and analyses fill a gap on the food supply side. They are meant to be made available to the public through a data hub and to feed into other analytical processes, such as the IPC / CH, FEWS NET and the gFSC's JMF.
	Joint - all					identify at what level of priority within your organization you consider the work to support coordinated needs assessments and analysis? What steps has your organization taken over the past year, if any, to ensure the requisite capacity is available to undertake this work.	assessment with the recruitment of technical staff at HQ level, together with further strengthening at regional and country levels (8 assessment advisers and over 20 National
WORK STREAM 6 - PARTICIPATION REVOLUTION							23333 Marie Gallytties.
6.1. Improve leadership and governance	organisations	Various guidance tools and knowledge products were developed, a global Community of Practice (CoP) was set up, in addition to training and mentoring provided to staff in the areas of accountability to affected populations and community engagement. Specifically effective were the cross country/region sharing of experiences through the CoP platform.	approaches embedded into programmes, projects	At country level, in Yemen FAO has greatly enhanced the feedback and complaints mechanism in place offering multiple channels for beneficiaries to use: SMS, toll-free, email and WhatsApp. A Call Center has also been set up to proactively reach out to beneficiaries to collect their feedback. Please see below for a further example.	community engagement activity. With the		N/A

WORK STREAM 7+8 - ENHANCED QUALITY	Joint -aid organisations			Another country level example comes from north-east Nigeria, where FAO developed a series of key community engagement and feedback products for households. The products were mostly pictorial (considering the low levels of literacy in the region) with limited but essential messaging in the local languages of Hausa, Kanuri, as well as in English.		N/A[5]	N/A
FUNDING	1	1. 2024 540	lag tre and tree and	TEACH LIDDAY 5 1 11	Isaali ali ali ali ali ali ali ali ali ali	0/ - ()	400/
7.1.a. Signatories increase multi-year, collaborative and flexible planning and multi-year funding. Aid organisations ensure that the same terms of multi-year funding agreements are applied with their implementing partners[6].		In 2021, FAO received multi-year contributions from 13 resource partners, for a total of USD 135 million, in support of 24 countries/regions. FAO continued to deepen its engagement with multi-lateral donors (e.g. WB, PBF) for multi-year projects. For example, this includes a WB-funded project in Yemen implemented with WFP and UNDP, as well as 7 PBF projects with 3 partners (IOM, WFP, UNDP).	holistic programming in response to needs.	(https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en/c/cb4874en) highlighted progress on multi-year and collaborative funding, including good practices such as with Sida multi-year funding in Sahel, PBF multi-partner projects, Global Network	requires that gender analysis be incorporated in the identification and formulation of all projects, and gender-related issues be taken into account in implementation processes such as collecting base-line data and in project	% of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multi-year.	19%
	Individual - all					% change of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multiyear.	97 million vs 130
	Individual - all					% of multi-year humanitarian funding received that is allocated by aid organizations to implementing partners	
8.2. and 8.5. Donors progressively reduce earmarking, aiming to achieve a global target of 30% of humanitarian contributions that is unearmarked or softly earmarked by 2020. Aid organisations reduce earmarking when channelling donor funds with reduced earmarking to their		earmarked funds to its Special Fund for Emergency and Rehabilitation Activities (SFERA). These funds contributed to emergency coordination, agricultural input distributions, desert locust operations and anticipatory action, among other time sensitive	Unearmarked/softly earmarked funds allowed for effective rapid response and anticipatory actions. More than one-third of funding to SFERA in 2021 went to the fund's Anticipatory Action window (USD 5.5 million), which helped to mitigate the impacts of crisis on at risk and vulnerable populations in 12 countries.	The annual report of the use of SFERA, highlighting achievement in recipient countries, is planned for release in May 2022.	gender marker.	provided by donors or received by aid organizations that are	2% (compared to 12% in 2020 when FAO received a substantial amount of unearmarked/softly earmarked funds for Desert Locust. This figure for 2019 was 2%).
partners.	Individual - Aid organisations					% of unearmarked/softly earmarked humanitarian funding that is allocated by aid organizations, with flexibility, to implementing partners	None. FAO cannot pass on unearmarked funding to IPs.
WORK STREAM 9 - HARMONISED							
9.1. Simplify and harmonise reporting requirements by the end of 2019 by reducing the volume of reporting, jointly deciding on common terminology, identifying core requirements and developing a common report structure.	Individual - all	template more widely and accelerate this process have taken place. For example, the 8+3 template will	As the 8+3 template isn't used for the majority of narrative reports, efficiency gains can only be observed in cases in which the template is being applied.	Terminal reports for humanitarian contributions from Canada, Germany, the Netherlands and the United States (as of 2021) should be drafted using the 8+3 template. So far, this has only been the case for projects implemented in Afghanistan, Nigeria and Somalia.	requests for gender-disaggregated data in the 8+3 reporting template being used.	Are you using the common reporting template as the standard for reporting by your downstream partners? if yes, on which level (global, limited scope (e.g. regional) If your scope is limited, please specify how and why?[7]	FAO is not using the 8+3 template for implementing partners.
HUMANITARIAN-DEVELOPMENT NEXUS							
10.4. Perform joint multi-hazard risk and vulnerability analysis, and multi-year planning where feasible and relevant, with national, regional and local coordination in order to achieve a shared vision for outcomes. Such a shared vision for outcomes will be developed on the basis of shared risk analysis between humanitarian, development, stabilisation and peacebuilding communities.	Joint - all	Committee to advance Humanitarian and Development Collaboration led by the DSG. At the IASC level, FAO continues ro be an active partner in various subsidiary bodies such as the IASC Results Group on Humanitarian-Development Collaboration (RG4), and the SRG-SDGs' Task Team on Transitions and Recovery (TT4).	FAO chaired the Financing the Nexus sub-group of IASC RG4. Through the Global Network against Food Crisis, FAO, WFP and the EU are jointly promoting consensual, harmonized and country-owned food insecurity, resilience and risk analyses monitoring of contexts (countries and regions) at risk of food crises to inform timely decision making and advocacy for action.	f		N/A[8]	N/A