

CORE COMMITMENT	RESPONSIBILITIES: 'INDIVIDUAL' (All, Donor or Aid Organisation) or 'JOINT' (All, Donor or Aid organisation)	WHAT ACTION WAS TAKEN IN 2020 TO ACHIEVE THIS COMMITMENT?	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS/OUTCOMES OF THIS ACTION?	WHERE RELEVANT, WHAT RESULTS WERE REPORTED AT COUNTRY LEVEL AGAINST THIS COMMITMENT? (Please specify countries AND results)	HOW WERE CONSIDERATIONS OF GENDER EQUALITY AND WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT[1] INTEGRATED IN YOUR INSTITUTIONAL EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT THIS COMMITMENT?	INDICATOR DEVELOPED BY WORKSTREAM CO-CONVENERS	PLEASE REPORT THE REQUESTED DATA FOR THIS INDICATOR
WORK STREAM 1 - TRANSPARENCY							
1.2. Signatories make use of appropriate data analysis, explaining the distinctiveness of activities, organisations, environments and circumstances.	Individual - all	Although SCHR does not generate high value financial data (SCHR's entire budget falls under one IATI category and is funded by members) in 2021 we extensively used non-financial data, most notably on the incidence and management of Sexual Exploitation, Abuse and Harassment	SCHR used data to drive changes in cultural approach to and reporting of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse, utilising in particular Implementing Data from the Misconduct Disclosure Scheme and the iReport dataset and complementing it with data gathered from confidential sources.	N/A - SCHR has no country-level operations, so this will be reported by SCHR members directly.	In 2021 SCHR used data transparency to drive change appropriate to the disproportionate risks faced by women and girls from abuse in the humanitarian sector.	Are you (or any of your affiliates) using IATI data and accessing IATI-compatible data standards/platforms/tools in order to enable evidence-informed decision-making, greater accountability and learning? [2] (Yes/no question) Can you expand on your above answer, giving an example(s) of how you use or are intending to use data published via IATI, or when applicable via other data standards/platforms/tools?	N/A. Non-requested data Sources include the following: https://www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/content/data-allegations-un-system-wide https://www.schr.info/implementation-data
WORK STREAM 2 - LOCALISATION							
2.1. Increase and support multi-year investments in the institutional capacities of local and national responders, including preparedness, response and coordination.	Individual - all	In 2021 SCHR invested heavily in reflecting the views of local actors while penholding on key Grand Bargain 2.0 documents including reflecting the views of local actors in the GB FG and securing space for their proposals for local Reference groups, forums to provide local actors with direct access to powerful humanitarian decision makers globally and in country.	SCHR was a key champion of Enabling Priority 2 and Outcome Pillar 2 of the Grand Bargain 2.0, reflecting the priorities of SCHR members' local partners and others. Private briefings also supported the capacity and engagement of local actors in the Grand Bargain and IASC.	N/A - SCHR has no country-level operations, so this will be reported by SCHR members directly.	SCHR has no direct contacts with local women's groups, but invested in 2021 in reflecting their importance and ensuring that they were specifically included in GB 2.0.	% of partnership or funding agreements that incorporate multi-year institutional capacity strengthening support for local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % awarded to women-led and or women rights' organizations[3]	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
2.4. Achieve by 2020, a global aggregated target of at least 25% of humanitarian funding to local and national responders as directly as possible to improve outcomes for affected people and reduce transaction costs.	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% of humanitarian funding awarded as directly as possible to local and national responders, with optional reporting on the % of that funding awarded to women-led and/or women rights' organizations.	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
WORK STREAM 3 - CASH-BASED PROGRAMMING							
3.1+3.6. Increase the routine use of cash, where appropriate, alongside other tools. Some may wish to set targets.	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Total volume (USD value) transferred through cash, transfer value only, excluding overhead/support costs	N/A
	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Total volume (USD value) transferred through vouchers, transfer value only, excluding overhead/support costs	N/A
WORK STREAM 4 - REDUCING MANAGEMENT COSTS							
4.5. Make joint regular functional monitoring and performance reviews and reduce individual donor assessments, evaluations, verifications, risk management and oversight processes.	Joint - donors	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A[4]	N/A

	UN agencies	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	# of UN agencies adopting the UN Partner Portal to harmonize UN processes for engaging civil society organizations/non-governmental organizations, and reduce duplicate information reviews/requests of partners.	N/A
	Civil society	SCHR reviewed its functions and cost base and as a result reduced its membership fees by over 25% by cutting travel and activity costs in 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	% of civil society organizations/non-governmental organizations partners of the UN agencies adopting the common UN Partner Portal process.	The reporting responsibility for this specific target is with UN agencies that are using the Portal
WORK STREAM 5 - NEEDS ASSESSMENTS							
5.1. Provide a single, comprehensive, cross-sectoral, methodologically sound, and impartial overall assessment of needs for each crisis to inform strategic decisions on how to respond and fund, thereby reducing the number of assessments and appeals produced by individual organisations.	Joint - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Which challenges have you identified and which actions have you been taking over the past year to strengthen humanitarian needs assessments and needs analysis in field locations and at headquarters? To which extent are these actions contributing to better joint (multi-stakeholders) inter-sectoral needs analysis in the field?	N/A
	Joint - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	On a scale of 1 – 10, with 10 being the highest, please identify at what level of priority within your organization you consider the work to support coordinated needs assessments and analysis? What steps has your organization taken over the past year, if any, to ensure the requisite capacity is available to undertake this work.	N/A
WORK STREAM 6 - PARTICIPATION REVOLUTION							
6.1. Improve leadership and governance mechanisms at the level of the humanitarian country team and cluster/sector mechanisms to ensure engagement with and accountability to people and communities affected by crises.	Joint -aid organisations	SCHR with the US has continued to provide leadership in GB WS 6 (see 2021 Workstream co-convenors report for details.) In addition SCHR has actively invested in ongoing reviews of the Humanitarian Program cycle and held the pen and politically supported the establishment of the Country level Reference Groups.	We secured the Integration of Participation as both an Enabling Priority and an Outcome Pillar of GB 2.0, and the agreement to national Reference Groups to provide local actors direct access to powerful humanitarian decision makers at the country level.	Presentations to and by Humanitarian coordinators have highlighted the actions taken by them to support effective participation at the country level. These included the use of local TV and other media widely consumed by affected populations and humanitarians, as well as continued sharing via the AAP Portal and results tracker, and through individual signatory reporting.	SCHR continues to engage with and influence the IASC AAP Results tracker, which provides Sex, Age and Diversity Disaggregated data on effective participation.	N/A[5]	N/A
	Joint -aid organisations	As a Facilitation Group member SCHR strongly reflected the voices of local actors, holding the pen on key elements of the GB 2.0 and reflecting in the framework key insights and ideas from both the local NGO members of the NGO constituency, and members of WS 6.	Commitment by Grand Bargain Signatories to the National Reference Groups and GB 2.0 Framework including enabling priority 2 and outcome pillars on Principled Partnerships and Accountability and Inclusion.	Refer to workstream members and SCHR organisations' self reports	Refer to workstream members and SCHR organisations' self reports	N/A[5]	N/A
WORK STREAM 7+8 - ENHANCED QUALITY FUNDING							
7.1.a. Signatories increase multi-year, collaborative and flexible planning and multi-year funding. Aid organisations ensure that the same terms of multi-year funding agreements are applied with their implementing partners[6].	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multi-year.	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% change of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multi-year.	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.

	Individual - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% of multi-year humanitarian funding received that is allocated by aid organizations to implementing partners	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
8.2. and 8.5. Donors progressively reduce earmarking, aiming to achieve a global target of 30% of humanitarian contributions that is unearmarked or softly earmarked by 2020. Aid organisations reduce earmarking when channelling donor funds with reduced earmarking to their partners.	Individual - Donors	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by aid organizations that are unearmarked/softly earmarked	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
	Individual - Aid organisations	SCHR continued to work with the US to convene discussions and comparisons between donors of their participation requirements. By the end of the year it was clear that we already had the institutional flexibility needed, but remain challenged in terms of empowering country reps and partners to fully use the existing commitments and flexibility.	We have started to expand awareness of the existing flexibility, and encourage colleagues at the front line to better utilise this. SCHR remains hopeful that with the renewed energy injected by the former ERC's ICVIC proposal and its rejection these will be better utilised.	May be highlighted in individual agency reports.	None beyond the existing commitments to ensuring that participation is inclusive of women and women's groups.	% of unearmarked/softly earmarked humanitarian funding that is allocated by aid organizations, with flexibility, to implementing partners	N/A SCHR has no funding agreements.
WORK STREAM 9 - HARMONISED REPORTING							
9.1. Simplify and harmonise reporting requirements by the end of 2019 by reducing the volume of reporting, jointly deciding on common terminology, identifying core requirements and developing a common report structure.	Individual - all	N/A - SCHR does not submit donor reports.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Are you using the common reporting template as the standard for reporting by your downstream partners? if yes, on which level (global, limited scope (e.g. regional)) If your scope is limited, please specify how and why?[7]	N/A
HUMANITARIAN-DEVELOPMENT NEXUS							
10.4. Perform joint multi-hazard risk and vulnerability analysis, and multi-year planning where feasible and relevant, with national, regional and local coordination in order to achieve a shared vision for outcomes. Such a shared vision for outcomes will be developed on the basis of shared risk analysis between humanitarian, development, stabilisation and peacebuilding communities.	Joint - all	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A[8]	N/A

