

DEFINITIONS GUIDANCE SUMMARY: EXAMPLE OF MULTI-YEAR AND FLEXIBLE FUNDING REPORTING FOR THE GRAND BARGAIN SELF-REPORT

Please find below a reporting example for the Grand Bargain multi-year and flexible funding core commitments below using the Grand Bargain self-report template. Instructions on how to fill in the multi-year and flexible funding core commitments of the self-report template **are written in red** at the top of each core commitment. Example responses are **written in red** within the template.

For further information on the definitions of multi-year and flexible funding, as well as more detailed guidance on how to report, please refer to the narrative section of the Definitions Guidance Summary.

Commitment 7.1a:

Instructions: For commitment 7.1.a, all constituencies should describe the actions taken to achieve this commitment and the results, including how you define multi-year (e.g. adherence to the OECD definition) and what determines the duration. If more space is needed for further explanation, please add to the indicator box.

For **First-level Recipients**, when describing actions taken to provide multi-year funding to implementing partners, please also indicate for this core commitment whether you apply the OECD definition of multi-year when passing funding to implementing partners. For more information on the OECD definition, please refer to the narrative section of the *Definitions Guidance Summary*. If you cannot pass on multi-year funding to implementing partners or is unable to provide this information, please provide a short explanation.

CORE COMMITMENT	RESPONSIBILITIES: 'INDIVIDUAL' (All, Donor or Aid Organisation) or 'JOINT' (All, Donor or Aid organisation)	WHAT ACTION WAS TAKEN IN 2019 TO ACHIEVE THIS COMMITMENT?	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS/OUTCOMES OF THIS ACTION?	WHERE RELEVANT, WHAT RESULTS WERE REPORTED AT COUNTRY LEVEL AGAINST THIS COMMITMENT? (Please specify countries AND results)	HOW WERE CONSIDERATIONS ON GENDER EQUALITY AND WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT[1] INTEGRATED IN YOUR INSTITUTIONAL EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT THIS COMMITMENT?	INDICATOR DEVELOPED BY WORKSTREAM CO-CONVENERS	PLEASE REPORT THE REQUESTED DATA FOR THIS INDICATOR
7.1.a. Signatories increase multi-year, collaborative and flexible planning and multi-year funding. Aid organisations ensure that the same terms of multi-year funding agreements are applied with their implementing partners [6].	Individual - all	Example Response Below: Signatory A adheres to the OECD definition of multi-year to be 24 months. The duration is determined by donor fiscal years.				% of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multi-year.	Example Responses based on Constituency: Donor: In 2019, X% of funding was provided through multi-year agreements. First-level Recipients and Local/National NGOs: In 2019, X% was received as multi-year funding.

	Individual - all					<p>% change of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by organizations that are multi-year.</p>	<p>Example Responses based on Constituency:</p> <p>Donor: In 2019, X% of funding was provided through multi-year agreements. This is an X% increase or decrease from XXXX year levels.</p> <p>First-Level Recipients and Local/National NGOs: In 2019, X% was received this as multi-year funding. This is an X% increase/decrease from XXXX year levels.</p>
	Individual - all					<p>% of multi-year humanitarian funding received that is allocated by aid organizations to implementing partners</p>	<p>Example Responses based on Constituency:</p> <p>First-Level Recipients: In 2019, X% was passed as multi-year funding to implementing partners.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>We cannot pass on funding to implementing partners or this data cannot be provided at this time because of XXX.</p>

Commitment 8.2 and 8.5:

Instructions: For commitment 8.2 and 8.5, all constituencies should describe the actions taken against this commitment and the results. To respond to the indicators, all constituencies should provide separate percentage totals, one for unearmarked funding and one for softly earmarked funding. Where applicable and feasible, all constituencies should break down each of the percentages for unearmarked and softly earmarked funding into their respective sub-categories. For a description of the sub-categories, please refer to the narrative text of the *Definitions Guidance Summary*. For **Local NGOs/implementing partners**, please indicate whether the sub-categories for unearmarked funding and the sub-categories for softly earmarked funding are applicable to the funding received. If not applicable, please describe why.

CORE COMMITMENT	RESPONSIBILITIES: 'INDIVIDUAL' (All, Donor or Aid Organisation) or 'JOINT' (All, Donor or Aid organisation)	WHAT ACTION WAS TAKEN IN 2019 TO ACHIEVE THIS COMMITMENT?	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS/OUTCOMES OF THIS ACTION?	WHERE RELEVANT, WHAT RESULTS WERE REPORTED AT COUNTRY LEVEL AGAINST THIS COMMITMENT? (Please specify countries AND results)	HOW WERE CONSIDERATIONS ON GENDER EQUALITY AND WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT[1] INTEGRATED IN YOUR INSTITUTIONAL EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT THIS COMMITMENT?	INDICATOR DEVELOPED BY WORKSTREAM CO-CONVENERS	PLEASE REPORT THE REQUESTED DATA FOR THIS INDICATOR
8.2. and 8.5. Donors progressively reduce earmarking, aiming to achieve a global target of 30% of humanitarian contributions that is unearmarked or softly earmarked by 2020. Aid organisations reduce earmarking when channelling donor funds with reduced earmarking to their partners.	Individual - Donors					% of humanitarian funds provided by donors or received by aid organizations that are unearmarked/softly earmarked	<p>Example Responses Based on Constituency:</p> <p>Donor In 2019, [insert donor] provided X% its funding as unearmarked and X% as softly earmarked.</p> <p>Of the unearmarked funding, X% was provided in core resources and X% was contributed to the CERF. Of the softly earmarked funding, X% was thematically earmarked, X% was earmarked to a geographic and X% was contributed to CBPFs. <i>*Please note that you can combine the thematic earmarked and regional earmarked into one total if you do not track each separately.*</i></p> <p>First-Level Recipients and Local NGOs/Implementing Partners: In 2019, [insert aid organization] received X% as unearmarked and X% as softly earmarked funding.</p> <p>Of the unearmarked funding, X% was received as core resources, X% in humanitarian-restricted resources, and X% from the CERF.</p>

							<p>Of the softly earmarked funding, X% was received as thematically earmarked, X% as earmarked to a region, X% from the CBPFs, and X% as restricted resources.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>In 2019, [insert aid organization] received X% as unearmarked and X% as softly earmarked funding. A breakdown of the sub-categories cannot be provided at this time because of XXX.</p>
	Individual - Aid organisations					<p>% of unearmarked/softly earmarked humanitarian funding that is allocated by aid organizations, with flexibility, to implementing partners</p>	<p>Example Responses Based on Constituency:</p> <p>First-Level Recipients:</p> <p>In 2019, [insert aid organization] passed X% as unearmarked and X% softly earmarked funding to implementing partners.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Data on passing unearmarked and softly earmarked funding to implementing partners cannot be provided at this time because of XXX.</p>