KEY MESSAGES:

The concept of Collective Outcomes is often cited as the core transformational aspect that sets aside current policy discussions on the Nexus from past attempts to link relief to development, or bridge the humanitarian-development divide.

The implementation, understanding, and even expectations for what and how collective outcomes should be varies widely in their interpretation and has resulted in COOs that are pitched at different levels of specificity, granularity (national/sub-national), and timeframes.

A major influencing factor on the nature of Collective Outcomes is the type of joint-analysis and joint-assessment processes that underpin them. There are no established standards for joint context analysis approaches: some country teams use HNOs as entry points, some other use CCA, or RPBA, or RSA, or refugee analysis (CRRF), nationally owned SDG implementation plans or a mix thereof – adapted to their context.

Despite these major advancements in the articulation of COOs, challenges remain. These include: developing robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to assess impact against these Collective Outcomes; ensuring appropriate short, medium, and long-term financing; as well as clarifying/agreeing on accountability frameworks to deliver the activities under each Outcome.

KEY MESSAGES:

- **Work in Progress, Collective Outcomes “Focus Areas” discussed**
- **Work in Progress, ongoing discussions**

**Collective Outcomes Progress Mapping**

Data presented in this mapping stems from two practitioner’s workshops convened by the IASC Humanitarian Development Nexus Task Team, and from ongoing discussions among practitioners through the Community of Practice hosted by the HON TT.