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Work stream 1 – Transparency

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Publish timely, transparent, harmonised and open high-quality data on humanitarian funding within two years of the World Humanitarian Summit in Istanbul. We consider IATI to provide a basis for the purpose of a common standard.

2. Make use of appropriate data analysis, explaining the distinctiveness of activities, organisations, environments and circumstances (for example, protection, conflict-zones).

3. Improve the digital platform and engage with the open-data standard community to help ensure:
   - accountability of donors and responders with open data for retrieval and analysis;
   - improvements in decision-making, based upon the best possible information;
   - a reduced workload over time as a result of donors accepting common standard data for some reporting purposes; and
   - traceability of donors’ funding throughout the transaction chain as far as the final responders and, where feasible, affected people.

4. Support the capacity of all partners to access and publish data.

Transparency work stream co-conveners reporting request: How will you use the data from IATI within your organization including, for example, for monitoring, reporting and vis-à-vis other Grand Bargain commitments?

We understand that the data from IATI is a tool to enhance transparency and that it could be useful for aid recipient countries. On the other hand, there is a general concern over its cost-effectiveness, especially to what extent the data in IATI format is referred to and how they are actually utilised.

1. Baseline (only in year 1)
   Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. Progress to date
   Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

   We continue to publicize ODA data in the IATI-SML format.
3. Planned next steps
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

4. Efficiency gains
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. Good practices and lessons learned
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?

JICA publicizes ex-post evaluation in English for yen-loan projects and post-evaluation in English for all the projects. It is well appreciated as we can see a satisfactory level of access to the data.
Work stream 2 – Localization

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Increase and support multi-year investment in the institutional capacities of local and national responders, including preparedness, response and coordination capacities, especially in fragile contexts and where communities are vulnerable to armed conflicts, disasters, recurrent outbreaks and the effects of climate change. We should achieve this through collaboration with development partners and incorporate capacity strengthening in partnership agreements.

2. Understand better and work to remove or reduce barriers that prevent organisations and donors from partnering with local and national responders in order to lessen their administrative burden.

3. Support and complement national coordination mechanisms where they exist and include local and national responders in international coordination mechanisms as appropriate and in keeping with humanitarian principles.

4. Achieve by 2020 a global, aggregated target of at least 25 per cent of humanitarian funding to local and national responders as directly as possible to improve outcomes for affected people and reduce transactional costs.

5. Develop, with the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), and apply a 'localisation’ marker to measure direct and indirect funding to local and national responders.

6. Make greater use of funding tools which increase and improve assistance delivered by local and national responders, such as UN-led country-based pooled funds (CBPF), IFRC Disaster Relief Emergency Fund (DREF) and NGO-led and other pooled funds.

Localisation work stream co-conveners reporting request: What percentage of your humanitarian funding in 2017 was provided to local and national responders (a) directly (b) through pooled funds, or (c) through a single intermediary?¹

Although certain portion of our funding goes to local and national responders, we do not keep statistics of the percentage of such assistance.

¹ The “Identified Categories for Tracking Aid Flows” document agreed through silence procedure (available here) provides relevant definitions. The detailed data collection form (available here) may also assist you in responding to this question. Returning this form with your self report is optional, but encouraged.
1. **Baseline (only in year 1)** Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. **Progress to date**
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? Japan has continued its assistance to the local and national responders through the GGP scheme.

3. **Planned next steps**
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

4. **Efficiency gains**
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
Work stream 3 – Cash 現金給付支援の拡大及調整

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Increase the routine use of cash alongside other tools, including in-kind assistance, service delivery (such as health and nutrition) and vouchers. Employ markers to measure increase and outcomes.

2. Invest in new delivery models which can be increased in scale while identifying best practice and mitigating risks in each context. Employ markers to track their evolution.

3. Build an evidence base to assess the costs, benefits, impacts, and risks of cash (including on protection) relative to in-kind assistance, service delivery interventions and vouchers, and combinations thereof.

4. Collaborate, share information and develop standards and guidelines for cash programming in order to better understand its risks and benefits.

5. Ensure that coordination, delivery, and monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are put in place for cash transfers.

6. Aim to increase use of cash programming beyond current low levels, where appropriate. Some organisations and donors may wish to set targets.

1. Baseline (only in year 1)
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. Progress to date
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

For the projects that include cash delivery, we basically approve them after examining the effectiveness in comparison with other means of assistance, assessing the accountability measures, and, in certain cases, giving guidance for proper implementation.
**3. Planned next steps**
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

In Japan, cash delivery is still deemed as a rather unfamiliar measure. We believe it is important to promote understanding among the taxpayers and the government’s finance sections. In order to persuade those people, it is important to assure accountability of cash assistance. We hope to work closely with aid organizations on this matter.

**4. Efficiency gains**
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

**5. Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
Work stream 4 – Management costs

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Reduce the costs and measure the gained efficiencies of delivering assistance with technology (including green) and innovation. Aid organisations will provide the detailed steps to be taken by the end of 2017.

Examples where use of technology can be expanded:

- Mobile technology for needs assessments/post-distribution monitoring;
- Digital platforms and mobile devices for financial transactions;
- Communication with affected people via call centres and other feedback mechanisms such as SMS text messaging;
- Biometrics; and
- Sustainable energy.

2. Harmonise partnership agreements and share partner assessment information as well as data about affected people, after data protection safeguards have been met by the end of 2017, in order to save time and avoid duplication in operations.

Aid organisations commit to:

3. Provide transparent and comparable cost structures by the end of 2017. We acknowledge that operational management of the Grand Bargain signatories - the United Nations, International Organization for Migration (IOM), the Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and the NGO sector may require different approaches.

4. Reduce duplication of management and other costs through maximising efficiencies in procurement and logistics for commonly required goods and services. Shared procurement should leverage the comparative advantage of the aid organisations and promote innovation.

Suggested areas for initial focus:

- Transportation/Travel;
- Vehicles and fleet management;
- Insurance;
- Shipment tracking systems;
- Inter-agency/common procurement pipelines (non-food items, shelter, WASH, food);
- IT services and equipment;
- Commercial consultancies; and
- Common support services.

Donors commit to:

5. Make joint regular functional monitoring and performance reviews and reduce individual donor assessments, evaluations, verifications, risk management and oversight processes.

Management costs work stream co-conveners reporting request: What steps have you taken to reduce the number of individual donor assessments (if a donor) or partner assessments (if an agency) you conduct on humanitarian partners?

Japan uses information published by international organizations, such as annual reports. We basically do not request extra information for our individual reviews.

1. Baseline (only in year 1)
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. Progress to date
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

N/A

3. Planned next steps
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

N/A

4. Efficiency gains
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

N/A
5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?

N/A
Work stream 5 – Needs Assessment

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Provide a single, comprehensive, cross-sectoral, methodologically sound and impartial overall assessment of needs for each crisis to inform strategic decisions on how to respond and fund thereby reducing the number of assessments and appeals produced by individual organisations.

2. Coordinate and streamline data collection to ensure compatibility, quality and comparability and minimising intrusion into the lives of affected people. Conduct the overall assessment in a transparent, collaborative process led by the Humanitarian Coordinator/Resident Coordinator with full involvement of the Humanitarian Country Team and the clusters/sectors and in the case of sudden onset disasters, where possible, by the government. Ensure sector-specific assessments for operational planning are undertaken under the umbrella of a coordinated plan of assessments at inter-cluster/sector level.

3. Share needs assessment data in a timely manner, with the appropriate mitigation of protection and privacy risks. Jointly decide on assumptions and analytical methods used for projections and estimates.

4. Dedicate resources and involve independent specialists within the clusters to strengthen data collection and analysis in a fully transparent, collaborative process, which includes a brief summary of the methodological and analytical limitations of the assessment.

5. Prioritise humanitarian response across sectors based on evidence established by the analysis. As part of the IASC Humanitarian Response Plan process on the ground, it is the responsibility of the empowered Humanitarian Coordinator/Resident Coordinator to ensure the development of the prioritised, evidence-based response plans.

6. Commission independent reviews and evaluations of the quality of needs assessment findings and their use in prioritisation to strengthen the confidence of all stakeholders in the needs assessment.

7. Conduct risk and vulnerability analysis with development partners and local authorities, in adherence to humanitarian principles, to ensure the alignment of humanitarian and development programming.

Needs assessment work stream co-conveners reporting request: What hurdles, if any, might be addressed to allow for more effective implementation of the GB commitment?

Japan usually refers to Humanitarian Response Plan for needs assessment. We do not feel challenges in utilizing HRP.
1. **Baseline (only in year 1)**
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. **Progress to date**
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

3. **Planned next steps**
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

4. **Efficiency gains**
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other ries) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
Work stream 6 – Participation Revolution

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. Improve leadership and governance mechanisms at the level of the humanitarian country team and cluster/sector mechanisms to ensure engagement with and accountability to people and communities affected by crises.

2. Develop common standards and a coordinated approach for community engagement and participation, with the emphasis on inclusion of the most vulnerable, supported by a common platform for sharing and analysing data to strengthen decision-making, transparency, accountability and limit duplication.

3. Strengthen local dialogue and harness technologies to support more agile, transparent but appropriately secure feedback.

4. Build systematic links between feedback and corrective action to adjust programming.

Donors commit to:

5. Fund flexibly to facilitate programme adaptation in response to community feedback.

6. Invest time and resources to fund these activities.

Aid organisations commit to:

7. Ensure that, by the end of 2017, all humanitarian response plans – and strategic monitoring of them - demonstrate analysis and consideration of inputs from affected communities.

1. Baseline (only in year 1)
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. Progress to date
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

3. Planned next steps
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?
4. **Efficiency gains**
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
Work stream 7 - Multi-year planning and funding

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. **Increase multi-year, collaborative and flexible planning and multi-year funding instruments and document the impacts on programme efficiency and effectiveness, ensuring that recipients apply the same funding arrangements with their implementing partners.**

2. **Support in at least five countries by the end of 2017 multi-year collaborative planning and response plans through multi-year funding and monitor and evaluate the outcomes of these responses.**

3. **Strengthen existing coordination efforts to share analysis of needs and risks between the humanitarian and development sectors and to better align humanitarian and development planning tools and interventions while respecting the principles of both.**

---

**Multi-year planning and funding work stream co-conveners reporting request:** Please report the percentage and total value of multi-year agreements\(^2\) you have provided (as a donor) or received and provided to humanitarian partners (as an agency) in 2017, and any earmarking conditions.\(^3\) When reporting on efficiency gains, please try to provide quantitative examples.

Part of Japan’s humanitarian funding is for multi-year projects. However, we do not keep statistics of the percentage of such projects.

---

**1. Baseline (only in year 1)**
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

**2. Progress to date**
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

Japan continues to fund multi-year projects which are possible within its budgetary constraints.

**3. Planned next steps**
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

---

\(^2\) Multiyear funding is funding provided for two or more years based on a firm commitment at the outset

\(^3\) For the Grand Bargain definitions of earmarking, please see Annex I. Earmarking modalities, as contained with the final agreement, available [here](#).
4. Efficiency gains
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. Good practice and lessons learned
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
**Work stream 8 - Earmarking/flexibility**

**Aid organisations and donors commit to:**

1. **Jointly determine, on an annual basis, the most effective and efficient way of reporting on unearmarked and softly earmarked funding and to initiate this reporting by the end of 2017.**

2. **Reduce the degree of earmarking of funds contributed by governments and regional groups who currently provide low levels of flexible finance. Aid organisations in turn commit to do the same with their funding when channelling it through partners.**

**Aid organisations commit to:**

3. **Be transparent and regularly share information with donors outlining the criteria for how core and unearmarked funding is allocated (for example, urgent needs, emergency preparedness, forgotten contexts, improved management)**

4. **Increase the visibility of unearmarked and softly earmarked funding, thereby recognising the contribution made by donors.**

**Donors commit to:**

5. **Progressively reduce the earmarking of their humanitarian contributions. The aim is to aspire to achieve a global target of 30 per cent of humanitarian contributions that is non earmarked or softly earmarked by 2020.**

---

**Earmarking/flexibility work stream co-conveners reporting request:**

Please specify if possible the percentages of 2017 vs 2016 of:

- Unearmarked contributions (given/received)
- Softly earmarked contributions (given/received)
- Country earmarked contributions (given/received)
- Tightly earmarked contributions (given/received)

The percentages of tightly earmarked funding in 2016 and 2017 fiscal years for major humanitarian agencies are as follows (the number does not include emergency grant aid):

- **WFP** 2016=98%, 2017=97%
- **UNHCR** 2016=90%, 2017=88%
- **UNICEF** 2016=82%, 2017=74%

---

*For the Grand Bargain definitions of earmarking, please see Annex I. Earmarking modalities, as contained with the final agreement, available [here](#).*
1. **Baseline (only in year 1)**
Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. **Progress to date**
Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

3. **Planned next steps**
What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

4. **Efficiency gains**
Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?
Work stream 9 – Reporting requirements

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. *Simplify and harmonise reporting requirements by the end of 2018 by reducing its volume, jointly deciding on common terminology, identifying core requirements and developing a common report structure.*

2. *Invest in technology and reporting systems to enable better access to information.*

3. *Enhance the quality of reporting to better capture results, enable learning and increase the efficiency of reporting.*

1. **Baseline (only in year 1)**
   Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. **Progress to date**
   Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

   N/A

   Japan does not request organizational reports only for Japan. We, instead, use annual/financial report published by international organizations. For earmarked projects, we request project completion/financial reports but they can be in any format. Therefore, we believe this question does not apply to Japan.

3. **Planned next steps**
   What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

   N/A

4. **Efficiency gains**
   Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

   N/A
5. **Good practices and lessons learned**

Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?

N/A
Work stream 10 – Humanitarian – Development engagement

Aid organisations and donors commit to:

1. **Use existing resources and capabilities better to shrink humanitarian needs over the long term with the view of contributing to the outcomes of the Sustainable Development Goals. Significantly increase prevention, mitigation and preparedness for early action to anticipate and secure resources for recovery. This will need to be the focus not only of aid organisations and donors but also of national governments at all levels, civil society, and the private sector.**

2. **Invest in durable solutions for refugees, internally displaced people and sustainable support to migrants, returnees and host/receiving communities, as well as for other situations of recurring vulnerabilities.**

3. **Increase social protection programmes and strengthen national and local systems and coping mechanisms in order to build resilience in fragile contexts.**

4. **Perform joint multi-hazard risk and vulnerability analysis, and multi-year planning where feasible and relevant, with national, regional and local coordination in order to achieve a shared vision for outcomes. Such a shared vision for outcomes will be developed on the basis of shared risk analysis between humanitarian, development, stabilisation and peacebuilding communities.**

5. **Galvanise new partnerships that bring additional capabilities and resources to crisis affected states through Multilateral Development Banks within their mandate and foster innovative partnerships with the private sector.**

**Humanitarian-Development engagement work stream co-conveners reporting request:** What has your organisation done to operationalise the humanitarian-development nexus at country level?

- Tokyo encourages our staff overseas to collaborate better among different actors by giving financial incentives for collaborative projects.
- Japan continues contributing to the World Bank’s Global Concessional Financing Facility (total of USD55,000,000 has been disbursed) which is a model for refugee response implemented through development aid to host countries.
- Japan supports Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework which places importance on multi-stakeholder approach. In Uganda, where CRRF is being rolled-out, JICA is implementing rice-farming project for refugees and host community in close cooperation with UNHCR, WFP and UNDP with their respective humanitarian/development projects.
Also, Japan supports UNICEF’s various integrated assistance projects comprised of humanitarian and development interventions.

1. **Baseline (only in year 1)**
   Where did your organisation stand on the work stream and its commitments when the Grand Bargain was signed?

2. **Progress to date**
   Which concrete actions have you taken (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream?

   Same as the answers for the above question for work stream co-conveners reporting request.

3. **Planned next steps**
   What are the specific next steps which you plan to undertake to implement the commitments (with a focus on the next 2 years)?

   Japan aims for truly effective nexus. Tokyo realizes that financial incentives for collaborative projects can sometimes drive field operators to form collaborative-looking projects in which the effect of collaboration is not clear. In order to avoid such misincentive, MoFA, in cooperation with JICA, puts effort in monitoring the effectiveness of nexus projects.

4. **Efficiency gains**
   Please indicate, qualitatively, efficiency gains associated with implementation of GB commitments and how they have benefitted your organisation and beneficiaries.

   In Uganda case, both the refugees and host community farmers benefitted from our project. This can be a base for durable solution for the region’s refugee response.

5. **Good practices and lessons learned**
   Which concrete action(s) have had the most success (both internally and in cooperation with other signatories) to implement the commitments of the work stream? And why?

   Japan supports Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework which places importance on multi-stakeholder approach. In Uganda, where CRRF is being rolled-out, JICA is implementing rice-farming project for refugees and host
community in close cooperation with UNHCR, WFP and UNDP with their respective humanitarian/development projects.